



Enhancing Positive Influence in the Context of adult education

SKILLS WORKBOOK



Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are, however, those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.



© Copyright 2026 EPIC Consortium

Partners:

- Associazione Culturale RHIZOMA ETS (RHIZOMA)
- EOLAS S.L. (EOLAS)

This document may not be copied, reproduced, or modified in whole or in part for any purpose without written permission from the EPIC Consortium. In addition, an acknowledgement of the authors of the document and all applicable portions of the copyright notice must be clearly referenced.

This document may change without notice.

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION	
Title	Workbook
Type	Report
Output	Activity 1
Partners	RHIZOMA, EOLAS
Authors	Luigi Frezza, Manon van Leuween
Dissemination Level	Public



Table of Contents

Introduction	6
How to use the Workbook	8
Topic 1: The Myth of Neutrality	10
What is this Topic about	10
Operative application of Topic 1	13
Building on established adult education practice	15
Exercise for Trainers: THE VALUE COMPASS	16
PREPARATION	17
STEP 1: THE CORE OF THE COMPASS	17
STEP 2: THE OUTER LIMITS AND RED LINES	17
STEP 3: THE INTERSECTION OF WORLDVIEWS	18
STEP 4: THE FACILITATION PROTOCOL	18
ONGOING REFLECTION	19
Topic 1 application for Adult Learners	20
Exercise for Adult Learners: MAPPING THE SUBJECTIVE LENS	23
ENVIRONMENTAL PREPARATION	23
STEP 1: INTRODUCING THE NEUTRAL ARTEFACT	24
STEP 2: INDIVIDUAL DECODING	25
STEP 3: COLLABORATIVE CARTOGRAPHY	25
STEP 4: NAVIGATING THE DISSONANCE	26
CONCLUDING THE EXERCISE	27
NOTE: THE EDUCATOR'S ROLE	27
Conclusion: Integrating the lived experience	29
Topic 2: Redefining Authority	30
What is this Topic about	30
Operative application of Topic 2	33
Exercise for Trainers: THE DE-CENTRING AUDIT	35
PREPARATION	35
STEP 1: IDENTIFYING THE DECISION NODES	36
STEP 2: ANALYSING THE RELATIONAL IMPACT	37
STEP 3: THE FACILITATIVE REDESIGN	37
FINAL STEP: THE MICRO-EXPERIMENT LOG	38
Application for Adult Learners	38



<u>Exercise for Adult Learners: THE ANDRAGOGICAL CONTRACT NEGOTIATION</u>	39
<u>PREPARATION</u>	39
<u>STEP 1: DECONSTRUCTING PAST SCHOOLING EXPECTATIONS</u>	40
<u>STEP 2: INTRODUCING THE ANDRAGOGICAL CONTRACT</u>	40
<u>STEP 3: POPULATING THE COOPERATIVE MATRIX</u>	40
<u>STEP 4: CO-DESIGNING EVALUATION AND APPROACH</u>	41
<u>FINAL STEP: SETTING THE FUTURE</u>	41
<u>Conclusion and Integration</u>	41
<u>Topic 3: Deconstructing Infantilisation</u>	42
<u>What is this Topic about</u>	42
<u>Operative application of Topic 3</u>	44
<u>Exercise for Trainers: THE AUTONOMY AUDIT IN ACTION</u>	45
<u>PREPARATION</u>	45
<u>STEP 1: LISTING THE SYSTEMIC RULES</u>	45
<u>STEP 2: FILTERING THE RULES</u>	45
<u>STEP 3: STRUCTURING THE CONTRACT OF EQUALS</u>	46
<u>FINAL STEP: THE MICRO-EXPERIMENT LOG</u>	46
<u>Application for Adult Learners</u>	46
<u>Exercise for Adult Learners: CO-AUTHORING THE SHARED BOUNDARIES</u>	47
<u>PREPARATION</u>	47
<u>STEP 1: RECALLING THE COMFORT OF AUTONOMY</u>	47
<u>STEP 2: INTRODUCING THE AUDIT TO THE COMMUNITY</u>	48
<u>STEP 3: SEPARATING STRUCTURE FROM CONTROL</u>	48
<u>STEP 4: CO-CREATING THE BALANCE</u>	48
<u>FINAL STEP: INTEGRATING THE CO-CREATED PARAMETERS</u>	49
<u>Conclusion: Reclaiming the Contract of Equals</u>	49
<u>Topic 4: The Inclusive Mindset</u>	50
<u>What is this Topic about</u>	50
<u>Operative application of Topic 4</u>	52
<u>Exercise for Trainers: THE NARRATIVE CHECK IN ACTION</u>	53
<u>PREPARATION AND LOGISTICS</u>	53
<u>STEP 1: THE ACCIDENTAL NARRATIVE SCAN</u>	53
<u>STEP 2: THE METAPHOR AUDIT</u>	53
<u>STEP 3: RE-AUTHORING FOR INCREASING HOSPITALITY</u>	54
<u>FINAL STEP: IMPLEMENTING THE REVISED NARRATIVE</u>	54
<u>Topic 4 application for Adult Learners</u>	54



Exercise for Adult Learners: THE DIALOGUE KALEIDOSCOPE	55
PREPARATION	55
STEP 1: THE IDIOM MARKETPLACE	55
STEP 2: THE INNER CIRCLE DIALOGUE	56
STEP 3: THE OUTER CIRCLE NARRATIVE SCAN	56
STEP 4: SHIFTING THE KALEIDOSCOPE	56
FINAL STEP: INTEGRATING HORIZONTAL AWARENESS	57
Conclusion: Sustaining Inclusive Mindset	57
Topic 5: Vulnerability as Competence	58
What is this Topic about	58
Operative application of Topic 5	60
Exercise for Trainers: THE STRATEGIC VULNERABILITY BLUEPRINT	60
PREPARATION	61
STEP 1: THE PERFECTION AUDIT	61
STEP 2: DRAFTING THE TRANSPARENCY SCRIPT	61
STEP 3: INTEGRATING THE GROWTH NARRATIVE	61
FINAL STEP: LOG YOUR REFLECTIONS	61
Application for Adult Learners	62
Exercise for Adult Learners: THE AUTHENTIC EXCHANGE	62
PREPARATION	63
STEP 1: THE ACCIDENTAL EXPERT WARM-UP	63
STEP 2: ACTIVATING THE STRATEGIC VULNERABILITY TOOL	63
STEP 3: MAPPING THE SKILL CURVE	63
STEP 4: DEVELOPING THE GROWTH ARCHIVE	64
Final STEP: INTEGRATING CONFIDENT HUMILITY	64
CONCLUSION: EMBRACING CONFIDENT HUMILITY	64
Final thoughts on this Workbook	65

Introduction

Welcome to the EPIC Workbook. This comprehensive document serves as a reflective guide and a practical toolkit for adult education professionals who wish to transform their pedagogical practice



profoundly. In traditional training environments, the educator is frequently positioned as an infallible expert who dispenses objective knowledge from a pedestal of absolute authority.

The EPIC Skills Workbook is grounded in a precise epistemological stance. Adult education is understood here as a social-constructivist process: knowledge is not a static object that the educator transfers into “empty” minds, but a shared construction arising from dialogue, experience and interaction between adult peers. In this view, every learning event is co-authored by educators and participants, and deeply influenced by the cultural, economic and relational contexts in which it takes place.

This social-constructivist perspective is closely connected to andragogy. Rather than treating learners as children to be disciplined, EPIC assumes that adults are self-directed subjects who bring rich life histories, professional expertise and moral agency into the training room. The Workbook, therefore, focuses on negotiation, shared responsibility and co-designed learning contracts, instead of top-down obedience and passive reception.

Post-structuralist and narrative approaches further inform this framework. EPIC recognises that language and stories do not merely describe reality; they actively shape how people experience power, inclusion, competence and "normality". The Workbook invites educators and learners to deconstruct dominant narratives (for example, around authority or neutrality), and to re-author more inclusive, hopeful and emancipatory stories about adult education.

Finally, EPIC draws on the Solution-Focused Approach and creative education. Rather than analysing deficits and failures, the exercises in this Workbook are designed to highlight existing strengths, exceptions and preferred futures, using creative, experiential methodologies. This combination of social-constructivism, andragogy, post-structuralism, narrative practice and solution-focused thinking provides the theoretical backbone for all five topics and the operative tools offered in the following pages.

Furthermore, the EPIC framework is deeply rooted in Anti-Opressive Practices. This perspective requires educators to actively recognise and dismantle the systemic inequalities and power imbalances that manifest in the learning environment. Rather than simply acknowledging diversity, an anti-oppressive approach requires us to identify how institutional norms marginalise specific identities and take concrete steps to disrupt these dynamics.

This combination of social-constructivism, andragogy, non-structuralism, narrative practice, solution-focused thinking, and anti-oppressive practices provide the theoretical backbone for all five topics.



The EPIC framework invites a radical and necessary departure from this conventional model: we recognise that education is never a neutral transmission of sterile facts. Instead, it is a deeply relational and highly political space where language, power dynamics, and subjective lived experiences constantly intersect and shape the learning process.

When adults enter a continuous education environment, they do not arrive as empty vessels waiting to be filled. They bring with them incredibly rich personal histories, complex professional backgrounds, and unique cultural frameworks that inform how they interpret the world. To fully honour this complexity and foster genuine intellectual growth, educators must shift away from a stance of unilateral control. We must move toward a model of facilitative leadership, where power is shared and the classroom becomes a collaborative laboratory. This workbook provides both the deep theoretical foundation and the specific operative tools necessary to make this profound transition successful.

This Workbook has been developed on the basis of the transnational research carried out in Activity 1 of the EPIC project. Through interviews, surveys and focus groups with adult educators, support staff and managers in Italy and Spain, Activity 1 explored how the recognised authority of educators, their personal worldviews and the institutional narratives around expertise influence the learning process, especially in relation to inclusion, non-discrimination and accessibility.

The research identified both barriers and enabling factors. Barriers included: the persistence of school-like, infantilising routines in adult education; unexamined beliefs in educator neutrality; rigid top-down authority structures; and institutional discourses that marginalise certain identities and abilities. Enabling factors included reflexive practice around power and subjectivity; co-designed learning contracts; explicit attention to inclusive language and narratives; and organisational support for facilitative leadership.

Each topic in this Workbook translates those findings into operative tools. “The Myth of Neutrality” addresses the barrier of hidden, unilateral values. “Redefining Authority” and “Deconstructing Infantilisation” respond to the need to shift from control to co-agency. “The Inclusive Mindset” focuses on language and narratives that open or close access. “Vulnerability as Competence” turns professional perfectionism into a resource for trust and psychological safety. In this way, the Workbook offers a direct, practice-orientated response to the needs and gaps identified in Activity 1.





How to use the Workbook

The EPIC Skills Workbook is designed as a practical tool for both adult educators and those responsible for managing and supporting them, such as HR staff and organisational managers in adult education settings. Their daily decisions about recruitment, training design, supervision and evaluation shape how inclusion, non-discrimination and accessibility are realised in practice.

Aligned with the Erasmus+ priorities, this Workbook is designed first and foremost as a tool for inclusion and diversity in adult education. Every topic invites educators to recognise how their power, language and institutional habits can either reinforce exclusion or become catalysts for a more hospitable, equitable learning environment. The exercises explicitly foreground the experiences of adults with learning barriers or at risk of social, economic or cultural exclusion, supporting the creation of psychologically safe, culturally responsive spaces.

At the same time, the Workbook supports the strengthening of the professional competencies of adult educators and support staff. By working through the proposed activities, educators build practical skills in reflexive use of authority, conflict transformation, co-design of learning contracts, narrative awareness and management of group dynamics. These competences directly contribute to the inclusiveness and accessibility of adult education services and can be integrated into initial and continuous training for educators, managers and HR staff.

More specifically, both adult educators and HR/managerial staff can use this Workbook to:

- review existing training policies, routines and classroom practices in light of the five EPIC topics (neutrality, authority, infantilisation, inclusive narratives and vulnerability), identifying where current habits support or undermine inclusion and diversity;
- co-design internal professional development pathways that strengthen facilitative leadership, narrative awareness and reflexive practice among educators and support staff;
- identify enabling and disabling organisational factors that affect educators' capacity to use their influence positively (for example, evaluation systems, institutional language, space/time organisation) and plan structural changes accordingly;
- integrate EPIC principles into codes of conduct, quality assurance frameworks, supervision procedures and staff appraisal systems, so that attention to power, inclusion and subjectivity becomes part of the organisational culture rather than an isolated training event.



Used in this way, the Workbook functions not only as a pedagogical resource for individual trainers, but also as a strategic instrument for organisational development and social innovation in adult education.



Co-funded by
the European Union



Topic 1: The Myth of Neutrality

What is this Topic about

In the landscape of contemporary adult education and continuous professional training, the idea that an educator can or should remain entirely neutral is one of the most pervasive and limiting misconceptions. When working with adult learners, this belief often manifests in the assumption that the professional is merely a technician of knowledge. The traditional perspective views the teacher or trainer as an objective transmitter of facts, entirely detached from ethical, social, or political implications. Conversely, the EPIC approach invites us to deconstruct the illusion of absolute objectivity, showing us that all forms of knowledge are intrinsically linked to the power dynamics and relational structures that produce and sustain them.

No pure knowledge or transmission of information exists without a human subject's mediation. This subject inevitably brings along their baggage of experiences, prejudices, values, and worldviews. In the field of educating adults, who bring their complex life histories into the classroom, every single choice made by the trainer is an act loaded with meaning and power. The selection of a particular textbook, the preference for a specific teaching methodology, the inclusion or exclusion of certain historical examples during a lesson, and even the physical arrangement of seats in a training space communicate a precise vision of learning, authority, and human relationships.

From the EPIC perspective, when an educator chooses to ignore a controversial comment from the adult learner group or deliberately decides not to address a sensitive topic to maintain a supposed neutrality, they are making a highly political choice. Silence is never the absence of a position; on the contrary, it often coincides with the acceptance and validation of the dominant status quo. The concept of neutrality often serves as a screen behind which dominant ideologies hide, rendering them invisible and unassailable by the learners.

We at EPIC firmly believe that this mechanism is particularly harmful in adult education, a sector that has historically aimed at the social and intellectual emancipation of its citizens. Showing adult learners a fake neutrality deprives the educational space of authenticity and prevents a genuine exchange of perspectives. In our opinion, in fact, the concept of neutrality often serves as a screen behind which dominant ideologies hide, rendering them invisible and unassailable by the learners. From the perspective of anti-oppressive practices, claiming neutrality is an active endorsement of systemic inequality. When an educator refuses to take a stance on sensitive social issues under the guise of objectivity, they implicitly validate the marginalisation of vulnerable groups. Adopting an



anti-oppressive lens means recognising that the adult classroom is a microcosm of wider societal power structures, and the educator has an ethical obligation to actively challenge these imbalances rather than passively observe them.

The myth of neutrality violently clashes with the very nature of an authentic andragogical process. If the trainer hides behind a facade of professional detachment, denying their subjectivity and passions, they create a formidable relational barrier that hinders the authenticity of the exchange. Transparent subjectivity thus becomes a fundamental and indispensable goal for anyone wishing to exercise a positive influence. The educator is not asked to eliminate their values, a task that is humanly impossible and likely harmful, but to become deeply and constantly aware of them.

Mapping a person's ethical, political, and cultural positioning prevents their convictions from being imposed unconsciously and coercively on adult minds. This process of inner mapping and continuous reflection is what transforms the exercise of power from a sterile dynamic of dominance to a dynamic of emancipatory facilitation.

Exploring further the theoretical roots of this concept, it is essential to reflect on how language and discourse shape the physical and emotional context in which we operate every day.

Post-structuralism teaches us that language does not merely describe phenomena passively. It actively contributes to constructing them and giving them meaning. The words a trainer chooses to use to describe success, failure, intelligence, or participation are never neutral. They carry with them an entire ecosystem of cultural references and social expectations. Privileging an exclusively competitive language reflects a specific vision of the human being. Conversely, adopting a vocabulary that values inclusion, divergent critical thinking, and collaboration reflects a radical commitment to the integral growth of the person. The educator must act as a careful observer of their own linguistic habits, digging beneath the surface of words to unearth the hidden assumptions that might inadvertently alienate some adult learners.

Furthermore, the contemporary adult education classroom is a space characterised by profound heterogeneity. These spaces bring together stories of migration, gender differences, socioeconomic disparities, and highly varied prior educational journeys. The illusion of neutrality very often leads to the adoption of a standardised approach. This approach inevitably penalises anyone who deviates from the presumed and invisible norm imposed by the dominant group. Recognising our partiality means admitting that our way of teaching may not be universally effective or welcoming to all. It means constantly questioning ourselves and accepting that the educator's perspective is only one of countless possible perspectives.



Thus, we at EPIC believe that the conscious abandonment of the myth of neutrality paves the way for truly and profoundly inclusive teaching. In this renewed space, differences are not flattened or ignored in the name of a fictitious and reassuring objectivity. They are, on the contrary, valued as invaluable resources for the mutual enrichment of the entire group. The adult educator transforms from being the inflexible guardian of a single truth to becoming the choreographer of a plurality of voices, ensuring that even the most silent or marginalised perspectives find space and legitimacy. This conceptual shift is the prerequisite for establishing trust, encouraging accountability, and fostering a collaborative learning environment where adult learners feel truly seen and respected.

The burden of maintaining a facade of neutrality often leads to emotional exhaustion for adult educators. It requires a continuous suppression of our own human reactions, moral compass, and intellectual passions. When we as educators are forced to operate as sterile conduits of information, we are denied the opportunity to bring our whole selves into the educational space. This unnatural suppression drains our professional energy and creates a sterile environment that systematically extinguishes genuine passion and authentic engagement.

In our opinion, adult learners possess a highly developed sense of social intuition. They can readily detect when an educator is holding back, masking their actual opinions, or avoiding authentic dialogue. This detection breeds mistrust. If the educator is not willing to be transparent about their subjective positioning, the adult learner will naturally hesitate to share their own lived experiences and vulnerabilities.

Furthermore, the myth of neutrality perpetuates a power imbalance that is fundamentally at odds with the principles of adult education. In a traditional educational setting, the supposed objectivity of the educator serves as a shield against critique. If the knowledge being transmitted is framed as neutral and universal, any questioning of that knowledge by the learner is treated as a personal deficiency or as a lack of understanding. However, when we embrace the insights of post-structuralism, we recognise that what is often presented as universal truth is merely the localised perspective of the dominant culture. By clinging to the myth of neutrality, the educator unconsciously demands assimilation from the adult learners, requiring them to adopt a specific worldview to be deemed successful or competent.

Breaking free from this myth requires a profound reimagining of the educational contract. It requires shifting the foundation of the relationship from the transmission of objective facts to the co-creation of meaning. In this collaborative paradigm, the educator's role is not to provide the final, neutral answer but to offer a structured space where multiple, subjective truths can be examined, debated, and synthesised. The educator brings their values and perspectives into the room not as absolute dogmas but as transparent reference points. By saying, in essence, "this is





where I stand and these are the lenses through which I view this topic", the educator invites adult learners to map their own positions with equal honesty.

This practice of transparent subjectivity is particularly vital when addressing topics related to social inclusion, diversity, and equity. The EPIC framework emphasises that inclusion cannot occur in a neutral vacuum. Inclusion requires an active, conscious dismantling of the invisible barriers that prevent full participation. An educator who claims to be colour-blind, gender-blind, or class-blind simply ignores the profound ways these dimensions shape their students' lives and learning experiences. To be truly inclusive, the educator must actively acknowledge these dimensions, recognising how their own background positions them in relation to the diverse identities that are present in the classroom.

Therefore, the first Topic of this workbook invites you to embark on a journey of profound self-discovery. It asks you to look inward before looking outward. It challenges you to identify the unspoken assumptions that guide your teaching practice, to excavate the cultural narratives that have shaped your professional identity, and to take ownership of the subjective lens through which you view your adult learners. This is not a task of self-criticism but a task of professional empowerment. By shedding the impossible burden of neutrality, you free yourself to build authentic, resonant, and deeply transformative relationships with the individuals you serve. The path to positive influence begins with the courage to be transparently, unabashedly human.

Operative application of Topic 1

The operative translation of abandoning the neutrality stance requires adult educators to make an active and structured commitment in their daily professional practice. Understanding the myth of neutrality is not enough: it is essential to embody this awareness through precise actions, measurable methodological choices, and continuous monitoring of our reactions.

The first area of application concerns the explicitation of values. Before crossing the threshold of the classroom and meeting the adult learners, we at EPIC invite the trainers to undertake a rigorous introspection with the aim of honestly and ruthlessly identifying their ethical boundaries, their latent political convictions, and their cultural prejudices. We are not inviting professionals to turn the lesson into a personal rally or an exhibition of their opinions. Rather, this introspection means possessing as detailed a map as possible of their own sensitive points. When educators show greater awareness of where their strongest convictions lie, they are better able to recognise the moments when they risk imposing those convictions on adult learners under the guise of objective truth.



Applied operationally, transparent subjectivity manifests itself in the habit of contextualising the information provided. Instead of stating that a certain concept is the absolute fundamental rule, the aware trainer can use linguistic formulas that highlight the perspective. They can clarify that alternative approaches exist, bringing to light forgotten stories and viewpoints that challenge the main narrative. By framing knowledge as situated and historically constructed, the educator empowers adult students to question the origins of the information they receive and relate it to their professional or personal contexts.

Another fundamental practical application lies in the critical analysis of the sources and teaching materials used, that is, subjecting texts, videos, exercises, or case studies proposed in the classroom to value radiography. By doing so, we as educators can ask who wrote the material, which perspective is privileged, and which social or cultural groups are absent or marginalised from those pages. Answering these questions allows for compensatory intervention. If the adopted textbook presents a partial or exclusionary vision, our task is not to censor it in order to maintain a false peace. The task is to use it as raw material to stimulate the critical investigation of the adult learners. We can openly ask the class to identify the missing voices, transforming a potential tool of unconscious indoctrination into a powerful training ground for analysing discourse and power structures.

The management of group dynamics and conflicts in the classroom constitutes another crucial application field for this topic. When tensions arise among adults linked to opposing worldviews, the trainer anchored to the myth of neutrality often tends to quell the conflict as quickly as possible. They attempt to restore order by appealing to objectivity or to the need to complete the academic programme. Conversely, applying the EPIC idea will allow educators to exploit that moment of friction as an important fulcrum of the learning process. By highlighting that the tension arises from the meeting of different adult subjectivities, the trainer will facilitate a dialogue in which there is no search for a winner. Instead, the group can analyse how the different positions were constructed. In this delicate process, the EPIC approach invites adult educators to constantly monitor themselves, ensuring they do not use their institutional power to advantage the learner who expresses opinions closer to their own.

This brings us to the theme of professional vulnerability, which represents perhaps the most difficult and counterintuitive application for those who have been trained in traditional models. In fact, affirming our subjectivity also means admitting our limits. It means having the courage to say openly in front of a class of adult peers that one does not possess the answer to a certain question. It also means admitting when one needs time to reflect on a topic before being able to offer a valid contribution. Showing our cognitive limits and ethical hesitations dismantles the aura of the “omniscient professional” and significantly reduces the hierarchical distance. We at EPIC believe





that this behaviour models for the learners an approach to lifelong learning based on continuous investigation, intellectual humility, and the acceptance of uncertainty as a fundamental human condition.

To apply these ideas systematically, adult educators may use supervision, peer discussion, or reflective notes to examine moments when they challenged their own assumptions. These are optional professional-development practices rather than safeguards against presumed professional shortcomings. Their purpose is to make professional experience discussable and to support informed choices in context.

The active practice of overcoming neutrality ultimately requires us to maintain our awareness on a daily basis. It is a relentless commitment to remain open, permeable, and deeply responsible for the weight that one's words and silences exert on those who are participating in the educational process.

The process of deconstructing dominant narratives also requires the trainer to develop a particular sensitivity to the language used in the educational space. Language is never a simple vehicle of neutral information. It is a social construct that shapes experiences and relationships. Overcoming neutrality means actively listening to the words that adult learners use to describe themselves and others. The educator intervenes not to censor but to open spaces for reflection. When a learner uses stigmatising labels or rigid categories, the educator can invite reflection on how those words are understood, who may be affected and what alternative language could support dialogue. The response should be proportionate to the situation and should not assume that every interaction necessarily reveals an oppressive mechanism.

Building on established adult education practice

EPIC recognises that many adult education systems and professionals have long used andragogical, learner-centred and competence-based approaches. In the Italian CPIA system, for example, the Individual Learning Agreement (PFI) and Learning Units (UdA) already support the recognition of prior learning, personalised pathways and active learner participation. Similar practices exist in other European contexts.

The Workbook is therefore not intended to introduce these principles to a supposedly traditional professional field or to replace established methods. It offers an additional reflective lens for examining how particular learners experience existing commitments to autonomy, participation and inclusion in particular organisational conditions.



Physical arrangements and time structures can influence participation, but no layout is inherently inclusive or authoritarian. Rows, circles, small groups and online configurations can each be appropriate depending on the activity, available space, accessibility needs and learner preferences. The practical question is whether the chosen arrangement supports the intended learning process and enables meaningful participation.

Time management also requires contextual judgement. Time for reflection and connections with prior experience can support adult learning, while some activities require clear pacing or externally defined deadlines. Educators can make these constraints transparent and, where possible, discuss with learners how the available time will be used.

Standardised assessment tools may be required for certification, comparability or quality assurance and are not inherently exclusionary. Difficulties may arise when criteria are unclear, prior learning is overlooked or one form of evidence is treated as the only valid demonstration of competence. Transparent criteria, recognition of prior learning, self-assessment, peer feedback and varied forms of evidence can complement formal assessment where the context permits.

The emotional dimension of adult education is relational, but professional authenticity does not require unrestricted personal disclosure. Warmth, empathy and the acknowledgement of uncertainty can strengthen trust when used responsibly. Educators should decide what degree of openness is appropriate to their role, the group and the situation, while maintaining boundaries that protect both learners and professionals.

Exercise for Trainers: THE VALUE COMPASS

The Value Compass is a reflective exercise for adult education professionals. It can be used to map values, consider how they influence facilitation and identify situations in which different legitimate priorities may come into tension. It does not assume that educators are unaware, authoritarian or in need of correction.

Values are one of several factors that may shape educational relationships, alongside organisational rules, resources, assessment requirements, professional roles and learners' expectations. Making values explicit can help educators discuss these factors and choose responses consistent with their responsibilities and context.



PREPARATION

Explain that the exercise is intended for professional reflection rather than moral evaluation. Participants may complete it individually or discuss selected elements with trusted colleagues. No one is required to disclose personal information or political views. The aim is to consider how professional values, responsibilities and contextual constraints interact.

STEP 1: THE CORE OF THE COMPASS

Invite participants to draw a large circle in the centre of a blank page. This circle represents their professional educational environment, the psychological and physical space over which you have authority and influence.

Inside this circle, invite them to write down four core values that they feel are absolutely non-negotiable in your teaching practice. These are the foundational principles that they actively, consciously, or unconsciously transmit to their adult learners. Examples might include *Equity, Efficiency, Respect for Authority, Critical Thinking, Punctuality, or Collaboration*.

Once they have written these four values, ask them to spend ten minutes reflecting on their origins. Ask them the following questions and invite them to write their answers around the circle:

1. *Where did I first learn this value? Was it inherited from my family, my academic training, or my cultural background?*
2. *How does this value manifest in my daily interactions with adult learners? For instance, if my core value is Efficiency, do I become impatient with learners who need more time to process information?*
3. *How might this value be perceived by an adult learner from an entirely different socioeconomic or cultural background? Could my insistence on Punctuality be interpreted as a rigid lack of empathy for a learner balancing night shifts and childcare?*

The EPIC approach highlights that what we consider to be universal professional virtues are often highly localised cultural preferences. Acknowledging these differences does not mean abandoning your values, but rather recognising them as subjective choices, not objective laws.

STEP 2: THE OUTER LIMITS AND RED LINES

Invite the participants to write down four concepts, behaviours, or ideologies outside the circle that they consider completely unacceptable. These are their red lines, the elements they actively



reject and attempt to keep out of their professional space. Examples might include *Discrimination*, *Apathy*, *Disrespect for Science*, or *Aggressive Competition*.

This step is crucial for managing power dynamics. When an adult learner crosses one of these red lines, the educator's instinct is often to leverage their institutional power to shut down the conversation. In traditional pedagogical models, educators see such behaviour as a means of maintaining order. In the EPIC framework, we view shutting down a dialogue as a lost opportunity to have a positive influence.

Invite participants to reflect on these outer words: Which of these behaviours triggers the strongest emotional response in them? Also, invite them to notice the physical sensations that arise when they imagine an adult learner expressing one of these unacceptable concepts in your classroom. This emotional reactivity is the exact point where an educator is most likely to slip from a facilitative stance into an authoritarian one.

STEP 3: THE INTERSECTION OF WORLDVIEWS

The complexity of adult education arises when the educator's red lines intersect with a learner's core values. Invite participants to choose one of the words they placed outside the circle. Now, ask them to imagine a scenario where an adult learner might legitimately hold that concept as a valid perspective due to their specific life history, religious upbringing, or cultural context.

For example, if they wrote *Disrespect for Science* outside their circle, ask them to imagine an adult learner from a marginalised community who has historical reasons to distrust medical or scientific institutions. If they wrote *Aggressive Competition*, ask them to imagine a learner whose survival in a harsh economic environment has relied entirely on outperforming others.

This step helps participants to de-centre their narratives. They are practising the cognitive flexibility required to view a behaviour not simply as a personal attack or an objective wrong, but as a different subjective reality that intersects with their own.

STEP 4: THE FACILITATION PROTOCOL

The final step of the Values Compass exercise is drafting a personal facilitation protocol. Invite participants to select the one red line that they find most difficult to tolerate. Then ask them to write a brief, step-by-step script for exactly how they will react if an adult learner brings that specific concept into the classroom.



The goal of this protocol is to ensure that participants' responses are guided by transparent subjectivity, rather than defensive authority. The developed scripts should include the following elements:

- A physical grounding technique: *What will you do in the first three seconds to manage your emotional trigger?* (e.g., taking a deep breath, consciously relaxing your shoulders).
- An acknowledgement of the learner's perspective: *How will you validate their right to speak without validating the content of what they said?* (e.g., I hear that you feel very strongly about this issue from your experience...)
- A transparent declaration of your own positioning: *How will you state your disagreement while maintaining the andragogical contract of adult peers?* (e.g., My own perspective on this is quite different, and the framework of this course is built upon the idea that...)
- An invitation for critical inquiry: *How will you turn the conflict into a learning opportunity for the group?* (e.g., Let us analyse together how these two different viewpoints impact the topic we are studying today.)

ONGOING REFLECTION

At the end of the exercise, highlight to participants that the Values Compass is not an exercise to be completed once and then filed away. It is a living document that should evolve as educators gain more experience and encounter new challenges in the adult education sector.

After completing the initial mapping, you can invite participants to run a peer review process. They can sit down with a colleague and share their compasses with them. Discussing their core values and red lines with a peer helps to further explore them and reduce their unconscious grip on your teaching style.

Invite them to ask their colleague to point out any blind spots in your compass. Are there values you claim to hold but do not actually practice? Are there red lines that are unnecessarily rigid? This collaborative debriefing reinforces the concept that learning and facilitation are communal, ongoing processes.

By integrating the Values Compass into their regular professional routine, participants embody the core principles of the EPIC project. They step away from the exhausting facade of the neutral, omniscient expert and step into the role of an authentic, transparent, and highly effective facilitator of adult learning. They learn to wield their influence not as a weapon of conformity, but as a tool for genuine, mutual empowerment.



Topic 1 application for Adult Learners

The transition of the EPIC framework from the educator to the adult learner marks a pivotal moment in the andragogical process. It is not enough for the trainer alone to understand that neutrality is a myth; this awareness must be actively and carefully transferred to the beneficiaries themselves. In adult education, learners do not arrive as blank slates waiting to be inscribed with objective facts. They enter the training space with decades of lived experience, deeply ingrained cultural narratives, established professional habits, and fully formed political and ethical worldviews. Applying the concept of transparent subjectivity to these learners means empowering them to recognise, articulate, and critically examine their biases, just as the educator has done.

The first step in this application involves validating the adult learner's pre-existing knowledge and life experience. Traditional pedagogical models sometimes alienate adult learners by presenting the curriculum as an unquestionable, neutral authority, effectively dismissing the learner's own lived reality if it contradicts the textbook. When the educator explicitly introduces the idea that all knowledge is situated and that no text or trainer is entirely neutral, it has an immediate emancipatory effect. It signals to the adult learners that their personal perspectives are not obstacles to learning, but rather essential components of the collaborative educational process. This validation is the cornerstone of the andragogical contract, transforming the classroom from a space of hierarchical transmission into a democratic forum of peer-to-peer exchange.

To operationally apply this concept, the educator can foster a culture of critical interrogation within the classroom. Adult learners should be encouraged to stop consuming information passively and start questioning the structures that produce that information. When presenting a case study, a new workplace policy, or a theoretical model, the educator should guide the beneficiaries to ask fundamental questions regarding authorship and perspective. Who created this model? Whose interests does it serve? Which social, cultural, or economic groups this narrative represents, and which are conspicuously absent? By turning these questions into a routine analytical reflex, the educator equips adult learners with the critical literacy necessary to navigate a complex, post-structural world.

This critical awakening is particularly vital in vocational education and continuous professional development. Adult learners often find themselves in workplace environments that feature rigid hierarchies and supposedly neutral corporate policies. By understanding that these structures are not natural laws but constructed systems based on specific, subjective values, learners gain the psychological distance needed to navigate professional conflicts more effectively. They learn to see a disagreement with a manager or a colleague not necessarily as a personal attack or a dispute



over objective facts but as a collision of differing subjective worldviews. This realisation drastically reduces workplace friction and enhances the learner's emotional intelligence and negotiation skills.

Furthermore, deconstructing the neutrality stance with adult learners involves completely reframing the concept of a debate or a classroom discussion. In conventional settings, discussions often devolve into a battle to prove who holds the objectively correct opinion. The EPIC approach shifts the focus from winning an argument to understanding the genealogy of a perspective. When two adult learners hold fiercely opposing views on a sensitive topic, the educator invites them to explore the underlying values and life experiences that produced those views. The goal is not to force an artificial consensus or to declare one side victorious, but to map the diverse subjectivities present in the room. This teaches adult learners the invaluable skill of cognitive empathy, allowing them to comprehend a worldview that is radically different from their own without necessarily having to agree with it.

It is crucial to anticipate that this process may induce a degree of cognitive dissonance or anxiety among some adult learners. Many adults return to education seeking certainty. They want clear-cut answers, definitive rules, and objective truths because these things offer a sense of safety and predictability in an otherwise chaotic world. Introducing the concept that all knowledge is subjective and that absolute neutrality is a myth can be deeply unsettling. It strips away the comfort of black-and-white thinking. Therefore, the application of this phase requires immense pedagogical tact and emotional support from the educator.

The trainer must normalise this discomfort, explaining that the anxiety of ambiguity is a natural part of profound intellectual growth. The educator must reassure the learners that letting go of the illusion of absolute objectivity does not mean descending into moral relativism where nothing matters. Rather, it means taking a higher degree of personal responsibility for the beliefs one chooses to hold and the actions one chooses to take. It is about moving from blind compliance to conscious commitment.

To facilitate this transition safely, the educator should establish robust ground rules for dialogue. Transparent subjectivity requires an environment of significant cognitive safety. Adult learners are eager to share their underlying values and biases when they trust they will not be mocked, judged, or penalised professionally. The educator must vigorously model this non-judgemental curiosity. When a learner expresses a view that is highly controversial or heavily biased, the educator should resist the urge to immediately correct or sanction them. Instead, the educator could ask the learner to elaborate on the experiences that shaped that specific viewpoint. This demonstrates to the entire class that all subjectivities can be examined safely and constructively.



The application for adult learners also includes how they evaluate their own and their peers' progress. If we accept that there is no single, neutral, objective standard of excellence, then standardised testing and rigid grading rubrics become highly problematic. The educator can apply the EPIC approach by involving the adult learners in the creation of evaluation criteria. By co-creating the rubrics, the learners are forced to negotiate their different values regarding what constitutes success, effort, and quality. Furthermore, incorporating rigorous self-evaluation and peer-to-peer feedback mechanisms allows learners to experience firsthand how different individuals interpret the same piece of work through different subjective lenses. This transforms the evaluation process from a punitive institutional mechanism into a profound exercise in understanding multiple perspectives.

Transferring the awareness of the myth of neutrality to adult beneficiaries serves a broader civic and social purpose. Adult education is not merely about producing more efficient workers; it is about cultivating active, engaged, and critically aware citizens. In an era marked by deep social polarisation, misinformation, and echo chambers, the ability to recognise one's own biases and to critically deconstruct the hidden agendas in dominant narratives is an essential survival skill. By equipping adult learners with the understanding that every text, every policy, and every statement is situated within a specific matrix of power and values, educators empower them to become conscious authors of their lives and active participants in the democratic process.

As you may have noticed, the success of transferring awareness of the myth of neutrality to adult beneficiaries significantly relies on the educator's consistency. The trainer cannot lecture about transparent subjectivity while continuing to act like an omniscient, authoritarian expert. According to the EPIC approach, we as educators must consistently model the vulnerability and critical self-reflection we are asking of our students. When we openly acknowledge our mistakes, point out the limitations of our expertise, and transparently share the values that guide our teaching, we give the adult learners tacit permission to do the same. This alignment between theory and practice is what breathes life into the EPIC framework, transforming abstract theoretical concepts into a living, breathing, and deeply transformative andragogical practice.

As we prepare to move into the specific exercise designed for the beneficiaries, it is essential to keep this overarching goal in mind. The objective is not to teach them a new philosophical theory to memorise, but to provide them with a new lens through which they can view their entire world. The application phase is about tilling the soil, creating the psychological safety, the critical vocabulary, and the relational trust necessary for the adult learners to engage deeply and honestly in the upcoming practical activity. It is about setting the stage for a profound shift in their relationships with knowledge, authority, and each other.



Exercise for Adult Learners: MAPPING THE SUBJECTIVE LENS

The objective of this concrete activity for adult learners is not to lecture the beneficiaries about the myth of neutrality but to plunge them into a structured, experiential learning environment where they can feel, observe, and dissect their subjectivity in real time. The Mapping the Subjective Lens exercise is designed to be highly adaptable. It can be used in vocational training centres, corporate professional development workshops, language integration courses for migrants, or community-based civic education programmes. The core mechanism remains the same: taking a seemingly objective piece of information and refracting it through the diverse lived experiences of the adult learners present in the room.

In traditional educational settings, adult learners are often conditioned to seek the single correct answer that the educator expects. This exercise systematically dismantles that expectation. It requires the learners to step out of the passive role of information consumers and step into the active role of meaning makers. By externalising their internal biases and values in a shared visual space, the learners begin to understand that their perspective is just one of many valid interpretations. This realisation forms the bedrock of cognitive empathy and inclusive communication, skills that today's polarised social and professional landscapes desperately need.

ENVIRONMENTAL PREPARATION

Before introducing the exercise, the educator must meticulously prepare the physical environment. The EPIC approach highlights that spatial arrangements dictate relational dynamics. If the room is arranged in traditional rows facing a whiteboard, the learners can instinctively revert to a submissive, passive posture. For this exercise, the room must be arranged in a circle or a “U-shape”, ensuring that every adult learner has an unobstructed line of sight to every other learner. There should be no physical barrier, such as a large desk, separating the educator from the beneficiaries. The educator must sit as part of the circle, physically embodying the transition from an authoritative expert to a collaborative facilitator.

To fully support adults diagnosed with specific learning disabilities such as dyslexia or ADHD, as well as those facing language barriers, ensure the text is printed in a highly legible, dyslexia-friendly font. You might consider providing an audio version or reading it aloud slowly. Also, you might offer visual symbols alongside text on the shared board, and allow learners to express their feelings through drawing or speaking if writing on sticky notes creates cognitive overload or anxiety.



Moreover, it must be made abundantly clear that the goal of the upcoming exercise is not to reach a consensus, nor is it to debate who holds the morally superior viewpoint. The stated objective is exploration and cartography. The educator might use a framing statement such as the following:

Today, we are going to look at a single object from twenty different angles. Your task is not to convince anyone that your angle is the best, but simply to describe what the object looks like from where you are standing and to listen carefully as your peers describe what it looks like from their vantage point.

The materials required for this exercise are simple but essential for externalising thought processes:

- A large blank wall space
- A whiteboard, or a large sheet of butcher paper placed in the centre of the room
- Sticky notes in various colours
- Thick markers for every participant.

The use of physical, analogue materials is highly recommended over digital collaboration boards, as the physical act of writing, standing up, and placing a note on a shared wall engages the body and breaks the static monotony of adult learning environments.

STEP 1: INTRODUCING THE NEUTRAL ARTEFACT

The educator introduces an artefact into the centre of the circle. This artefact should be a short, seemingly objective text or scenario that is relevant to the specific context of the adult learners. For instance, if the context is corporate training, the artefact might be a standard, generic company policy regarding dress code or remote work; if the context is a language integration course, the artefact might be a short, factual news clipping about a new local civic regulation; if the context is a vocational training programme, it could be a brief case study describing a standard procedure for dealing with a customer complaint.

The crucial characteristic of this artefact is that it must be written in a sterile, bureaucratic, or strictly factual tone. It must present itself as a neutral piece of information. The educator distributes a copy of the artefact to every learner and asks them to read it silently.

At this stage, the educator does not provide any context, interpretation, or guiding questions. The silence in the room allows the adult learners to process the information entirely through their own internal frameworks. This initial encounter with the text is where the subjective lens begins to



operate, as each learner unconsciously highlights certain words, ignores others, and attaches personal emotional weight to the sterile text.

STEP 2: INDIVIDUAL DECODING

After the learners have had sufficient time to read the artefact, the educator distributes the sticky notes and markers. The educator then guides the learners through a structured process of individual decoding. This step is about slowing down the cognitive process and inviting the learners to notice their own immediate, unedited reactions.

The educator provides the following instructions:

I want you to think about your first reaction to this text. On your first sticky note, write down one word that describes how this text makes you feel. It could be a feeling of safety, frustration, confusion, anger, relief, or indifference. Just one word.

Once the learners have written their feeling word, the educator moves to the second layer of decoding, which connects the feeling to a deeper value. The educator instructs:

Now, on your second sticky note, I want you to write down the core personal value or past life experience that produced that feeling. Why did you react that way? For example, if the text made you feel frustrated, is it because you deeply value autonomy and the text feels restrictive? If the text made you feel safe, is it because you have experienced chaotic work environments in the past and you deeply value clear rules?

STEP 3: COLLABORATIVE CARTOGRAPHY

The exercise then shifts from individual introspection to collective visualisation. The educator invites the adult learners, one by one or in small groups, to step forward and place their sticky notes on the shared wall or central board. As they place their notes, they are invited to briefly explain the connection between their feeling and their underlying value.

This is the most delicate and transformative moment of the exercise. As the board fills with different colours and handwriting, a striking visual representation of heterogeneity emerges. A single, generic paragraph about a workplace dress code might elicit a note expressing comfort linked to a value of professional equality, placed right next to a note expressing anxiety linked to a history of cultural assimilation and loss of identity. A sterile civic regulation might be interpreted as

a necessary measure for public safety by one learner and as a hostile act of systemic exclusion by another.

The educator's role during this phase is strictly facilitative. The educator must not judge, correct, or validate the content of the notes. The educator's task is to ensure that every voice is heard and to use active, double listening techniques to draw out the more profound meanings behind the learners' explanations. The educator might use reflexive questioning, such as:

That is a fascinating connection you made between this policy and your previous experience in the healthcare sector. Can you tell us a little more about how that experience shaped your view on this specific rule?

STEP 4: NAVIGATING THE DISSONANCE

Once all the notes are on the board, the adult learners will inevitably notice the stark contradictions in how the same neutral artefact has been interpreted. This cognitive dissonance is precisely what the exercise aims to produce. The educator must now guide the group through this dissonance, using it as a catalyst for profound learning rather than a source of interpersonal conflict.

The educator addresses the group, pointing to the board: Look at what we have created. We all read the same words on the same piece of paper. Yet, look at the immense diversity of reactions, feelings, and values that this single text produced. If this text were truly neutral and objective, our board would feature identical notes. But it does not.

The educator then facilitates a group dialogue that aims to synthesise this realisation. The discussion is guided by circular and generative questions designed to foster cognitive empathy. The educator might ask:

- *Looking at the board, which reaction is the most surprising to you, and why?*
- *Can someone identify a note on the board that expresses a worldview entirely different from their own and try to explain the logic behind that worldview without judging it?*
- *How does recognising this diversity of perspectives change how we might approach disagreements in our workplace or community?*

During this dialogue, conflicts may arise. A learner might feel defensive when another's interpretation challenges their core value. We at EPIC invite the educator not to suppress this conflict, but to manage it by constantly redirecting the focus back to the structure of the



subjectivity rather than the content of the argument. For instance, if Learner A argues with Learner B, the educator might intervene by saying:

We are not here to decide if Learner A or Learner B is right. We are here to observe that Learner A is viewing this text through the lens of individual liberty, while Learner B is viewing it through the lens of collective security. Both lenses exist in the real world. Our goal is to understand how these different lenses operate.

CONCLUDING THE EXERCISE

The final phase of the exercise involves transferring the insights gained in the classroom to the external reality of the adult learners. The educator wraps up the activity by asking the learners to reflect on how they can apply this new awareness. The educator poses a final, individual reflection task:

Think of a current conflict or a difficult communication dynamic you are experiencing in your workplace or personal life. How might your understanding of that conflict change if you applied the process we just used? What is the invisible lens through which the other person might be viewing the situation?

By concluding with this practical application, the educator ensures that the EPIC framework transcends the boundaries of the training room. The adult learners leave the session not only with a theoretical understanding of the myth of neutrality but also with a concrete, experiential methodology for navigating the diverse, subjective, and highly complex realities of their professional and civic lives. They have transitioned from being blind subjects of their biases to conscious cartographers of human perspective.

NOTE: THE EDUCATOR'S ROLE

In the context of the Mapping the Subjective Lens exercise, the educator acts less as a teacher and more as a choreographer of perspectives. This requires tolerance for ambiguity and trying to read the unspoken dynamics of the room.

Adult learners often carry profound insecurities regarding their intellectual contributions, especially if they have been away from formal educational settings for a long time, or if they belong to marginalised social groups that have historically been told their perspectives do not matter. When asked to publicly link their emotional reactions to their core values, these learners may experience a high degree of vulnerability. The educator must anticipate this vulnerability and



preemptively dismantle it. This is achieved through the continuous validation of all contributions. When a learner places a sticky note on the board that seems off-topic, confused, or poorly articulated, the educator must use their power not to correct the learner, but to dignify the contribution. The educator might rephrase the learner's point, elevating its academic or emotional weight, thereby demonstrating to the entire group that every fragment of lived experience is considered valid data in this process of collective cartography.

Furthermore, the educator must have awareness of the micro-hierarchies that naturally form within any group of adults. In a corporate training setting, a manager and a subordinate might be in the same room. In a language course, learners from historically conflicting nations may find themselves seated next to each other. The educator must actively intervene if they notice that certain voices are dominating the board while others are holding back.

Also, the educator can employ targeted, respectful questioning to draw out the quieter participants, for example:

We have heard several perspectives focusing on the economic implications of this text. I am curious to hear from those who might be looking at the text from an entirely different angle. Is there anyone who had a reaction focused on the relational or social aspects?

By explicitly inviting different categories of thought, the educator breaks the monopoly of the dominant narrative in the room and ensures a truly heterogeneous map of subjectivity.

While the goal of the exercise is to foster cognitive empathy, the educator must be prepared for the reality that mapping subjective lenses can sometimes expose deep, seemingly irreconcilable moral or political divides. What happens when an adult learner's interpretation of the neutral artefact reveals a worldview that is actively discriminatory, deeply prejudiced, or fundamentally hostile to the values of inclusion supported by the EPIC framework?

If the educator immediately shuts down the prejudiced comment with a harsh reprimand, they revert to the authoritarian power-over model, effectively alienating the learner and driving the prejudice underground where it cannot be addressed. If the educator says nothing in the name of false neutrality, they validate the harmful worldview and destroy the psychological safety of the targeted groups in the room.

The EPIC approach requires a third way: the transparent, facilitative confrontation. If a learner places a note on the board that crosses the ethical red lines established at the beginning of the exercise, the educator should not attack the learner but isolate the concept for critical dissection.



The educator might say:

The perspective that was just shared introduces a concept that directly challenges the foundations of inclusivity we are trying to build in this space. This perspective exists in wider society, and we must examine how it functions. Let us further analyse this specific perspective. What historical narratives or societal fears usually produce this kind of reaction? Who benefits when this perspective dominates, and who does it marginalise?

By treating the harmful comment not as a personal failing of the learner but as a societal artefact to be deconstructed, the educator neutralises the immediate emotional threat while maintaining rigorous intellectual accountability. The educator transparently asserts their value system without resorting to authoritarian punishment. This demonstrates to the adult learners that it is possible to hold firm ethical boundaries while still engaging in respectful, analytical dialogue. It proves that a positive influence does not require agreement, but it does require a commitment to understanding the roots of our disagreements.

Conclusion: Integrating the lived experience

Exploring the myth of neutrality leads to a fundamental shift in how adult education is practiced. Within the EPIC approach, we recognise that letting go of the illusion of absolute objectivity does not mean losing professional authority. Rather, it is a crucial step toward building authentic, transparent, and emancipatory relationships with adult learners. When educators acknowledge their own subjective lenses, they create a psychologically safe environment where diversity is genuinely valued and where adult learners feel empowered to examine their biases.

This narrative space serves as a dedicated moment for both the educator and the beneficiaries to process the cognitive dissonance that often accompanies this realisation. It is an opportunity to reframe the educational contract from a unidirectional transmission of facts to a collaborative negotiation of meaning among peers. By externalising our hidden values, we dismantle the invisible barriers that hinder effective inclusion and accountability.

To consolidate this exploration and transition the theoretical insights into everyday andragogical practice, educators are encouraged to use the following open questions for personal reflection or group discussion:



- *In what specific moments during the practical exercises did a different worldview challenge your own ethical boundaries?*
- *How did openly acknowledging your subjective positioning alter the power dynamics and the level of trust within your group of adult learners?*
- *What specific language or facilitation techniques will you adopt to ensure that marginalised perspectives are given equal weight in future discussions?*
- *How can you help adult learners apply this understanding of subjective lenses to resolve conflicts in their professional or civic environments?*
- *What routines can you establish for yourself to continuously monitor your unconscious biases before entering the adult education space?*

Topic 2: Redefining Authority

What is this Topic about

In the traditional landscape of education, the concept of “authority” is almost exclusively synonymous with a unidirectional power-over dynamic. Within this conventional framework, the educator is structurally and symbolically positioned as the absolute expert, the infallible guardian of knowledge, and the ultimate arbiter of truth. This classical philosophy operates entirely on a deficit model: it assumes the learner inherently lacks knowledge, and the educator, possessing a surplus, delivers this knowledge downward in a controlled, hierarchical manner. While this model has historically dominated compulsory schooling and institutional training, applying it directly to adult education creates profound structural, cognitive, and relational friction. The EPIC approach





invites us to fundamentally redefine this concept of authority, moving away from authoritarian control and toward a model of facilitative, influential leadership.

When adults enter a continuous education space, a vocational training centre, or a professional development workshop, they do not leave behind their extensive life experiences, established professional competencies, or their civic autonomy. They are fully formed individuals who navigate complex households, manage intricate professional environments, and make significant ethical and practical decisions on a daily basis. When an adult educator adopts a rigid, power-over stance, they implicitly demand that these adults regress to a state of passive dependency. This phenomenon is one of the primary causes of disengagement, intellectual resistance, and emotional withdrawal in adult education settings.

Adult learners possess a highly attuned sensitivity to artificial hierarchies and unearned arrogance. They deeply respect genuine competence and pedagogical skill, but they actively and instinctively reject hierarchy maintained purely for the sake of control. The traditional exertion of authority relies heavily on maintaining a professional distance, employing technical jargon to create a gap between the teacher and the student, and hoarding the right to evaluate and validate contributions. In the context of the EPIC framework, this hoarding of power is recognised not as a strength but as a profound pedagogical vulnerability that actively prevents genuine adult learning from occurring.

The EPIC approach challenges the deeply ingrained assumption that educational authority must be derived from an absolute mastery of content and the maintenance of rigid boundaries. Instead, it proposes that effective educational authority in an andragogical setting is derived from the ability to build authentic relationships, foster mutual trust, and empower others to take ownership of their learning journeys.

According to the EPIC approach, we understand power as a collaborative, relational capacity that actually expands when we share it with the learners. Consequently, Redefining Authority means systematically deconstructing the myth of the omniscient expert. The adult educator shifts their positioning from being the central focus of the classroom to becoming the architect of the learning environment. This shift does not mean that we as educators abandon our expertise, nor does it mean we abdicate our fundamental responsibility to manage the educational space, ensure focus, and provide structure. Rather, we repurpose our expertise. We use our profound knowledge not to provide all the final, unquestionable answers but to formulate the most generative, reflective, and circular questions. We utilise our structural power to create a cognitive safety net, a space in which



adult learners feel secure enough to take intellectual risks, share their own sector-specific expertise, and actively co-construct the curriculum.

In this redefined landscape, professional vulnerability becomes a cornerstone of authentic authority. In a traditional power-over model, an educator admitting ignorance is viewed as a catastrophic loss of status. In the EPIC model, when we as educators openly admit we do not have the answer to a complex question, or when we actively invite feedback on our facilitation style, we are performing a high-level pedagogical intervention. We are not losing control; we are modelling the very essence of lifelong learning, as we demonstrate to the adult beneficiaries that intellectual authority is not about achieving static perfection, but about demonstrating an unwavering, transparent commitment to the ongoing process of inquiry.

This transparency reduces the hierarchy. It communicates to the adult learners that the educator trusts them as intellectual peers. By stepping down from the pedestal of the infallible expert, the educator creates a vacuum that the learners are naturally compelled to fill with their agency, critical thinking, and lived experiences. The educator becomes a decentred yet highly influential presence. They guide the flow of conversation, synthesise disparate viewpoints, and gently challenge assumptions, all without ever imposing a single, dogmatic worldview.

Furthermore, redefining authority is an essential step in creating an actual inclusive adult education environment. Traditional, top-down authority often unconsciously replicates the power imbalances present in wider society. The dominant culture usually elevates its own knowledge, language, and experiences, while marginalised groups find their own knowledge and experiences implicitly devalued or ignored. When educators insist on a rigid, power-over dynamic, they often inadvertently silence those adult learners who are already accustomed to marginalisation in their professional or civic lives.

By contrast, the EPIC approach to authority actively works to dismantle these systemic barriers. In our perspective, an adult educator explicitly validates the diverse backgrounds present in the room. They recognise that an adult learner with decades of practical, hands-on experience in a specific trade holds a form of authority that is just as valid and necessary as the educator's theoretical or academic authority. By bringing these different forms of authority into dialogue with one another, the educator creates a rich, multi-layered learning ecosystem.

This transformation requires the adult educator to learn to derive their professional satisfaction not from the sound of their voice delivering a flawless lecture, but from the quality of the dialogue they manage to orchestrate among their learners. They must measure their success not by how much compliance they extract, but by how much autonomy and critical engagement they foster.



Ultimately, Redefining Authority means honouring the andragogical contract. It ensures that the classroom remains a space of mutual respect, where the educator and the beneficiaries engage in a continuous, reciprocal process of teaching and learning. It transforms the educational space from a site of passive transmission into a dynamic laboratory of human potential, where every participant is simultaneously a learner and a vital resource for the community.

Operative application of Topic 2

The EPIC approach offers a pathway to seamlessly integrate shared authority into the very fabric of the training environment. This operative shift invites us, as adult educators, to intentionally de-centre our presence and audit our teaching routines to identify where power is traditionally concentrated and where it can be productively distributed among participants.

The first and perhaps most immediate area of application lies in the physical and structural design of the learning experience. The spatial layout of a room communicates volumes about who holds authority before a single word is even spoken. Standing behind a podium, a desk, or an elevated platform while adult learners sit in parallel rows reinforces a unidirectional, hierarchical dynamic. To apply the principles of redefined authority, the educator is invited to reimagine this physical space. Arranging the room in a circle, a “U-shape”, or establishing fluid, modular seating immediately communicates that knowledge is expected to flow in all directions, not just from the front of the room. When the educator chooses to sit among the learners rather than stand above them, they physically dissolve the artificial barrier of the omniscient expert, signalling a readiness to collaborate rather than to command.

The flow of communication and the mechanics of questioning provide another profound opportunity for application. In a conventional setting, communication often resembles a wheel with the educator at the centre: the educator asks a question, a learner answers, and the educator validates or corrects the response. To redefine authority, the trainer can actively break this radial communication pattern. When an adult learner poses a query or shares a reflection, the EPIC-inspired educator pauses and redirects the inquiry back to the group instead of immediately providing the answer. Asking the room: *How does this concept align with your own professional contexts?* or: *What alternative perspectives exist on this issue?* explicitly validates the collective intelligence of the group. This practice shifts the learners' focus away from seeking the educator's approval and toward engaging meaningfully with their peers.



Adopting a not-knowing stance serves as a remarkably powerful pedagogical tool within this framework. This stance does not imply a lack of preparation or a deficit in subject matter expertise; rather, it is a deliberate posture of intellectual openness. The educator approaches the insights of adult learners with genuine curiosity, bypassing the temptation to immediately categorise or evaluate their contributions against a pre-existing academic rubric. By expressing phrases such as: *I had not considered the topic from that specific industry angle: please walk us through your reasoning*, the trainer actively empowers the learner. Such an approach grants the beneficiary the authority of their own lived experience and demonstrates that the educator is also actively learning and evolving alongside the group.

Feedback and evaluation mechanisms offer a fertile ground for redefining authority in daily practice. The traditional grading paradigm often embodies the ultimate expression of unilateral power, positioning the educator as the sole judge of value. In adult education, learning thrives when feedback functions as a negotiated, reciprocal process. The educator applying EPIC-related ideas may shift the focus toward formative, peer-to-peer review systems and self-evaluation rubrics co-created with the learners. When adults are invited to define what success looks like for their specific learning goals, they take profound ownership of the process.

Furthermore, actively and consistently soliciting feedback on the facilitation itself completely transforms the power dynamic. Dedicating time at the end of a session to ask the group, *What worked well for your learning style today, and what adjustments might support our next session?* positions the learners as active co-managers of the educational space. It demonstrates that the educator's authority is not fragile, but resilient enough to welcome critique and flexible enough to adapt to the needs of the community.

Navigating the emotional landscape of the classroom also requires a redefined approach to authority. When conflicts arise or when engagement drops, the traditional reflex is often to reassert control, tighten the rules, or employ punitive measures to restore order. The EPIC approach suggests treating moments of friction as valuable diagnostic data. If a group of adult learners seems resistant or disengaged, the facilitative educator names the dynamic transparently rather than suppressing it. Opening a dialogue by saying: *I am sensing some hesitation or frustration with this current module; let us pause and explore what is happening*, transforms resistance into a collaborative problem-solving exercise. The educator uses their influence to hold the space for difficult conversations, ensuring that all voices are heard and that the group navigates the tension together.



It is helpful to recognise that transitioning to this collaborative model requires a period of adjustment for both educators and adult learners. Many adult learners carry the conditioning of traditional, passive educational models and might initially expect the trainer to simply provide all the definitive answers. This expectation is a natural response to decades of hierarchical schooling. The educator can gently navigate this transition by maintaining absolute transparency about the pedagogical process. By continuously framing the learning environment as a collaborative laboratory and explicitly discussing the value of shared authority, the trainer helps beneficiaries shed their passive conditioning and step fully into their own intellectual agency. This daily, patient application of facilitative leadership ultimately builds an educational space characterised by profound trust, deep engagement, and mutual respect.

Exercise for Trainers: THE DE-CENTRING AUDIT

The De-Centring Audit is an introspective exercise designed specifically for adult education professionals. It serves as a structured reflection, enabling trainers to visualise their structural authority and prepare concrete strategies for transparent, shared facilitation. This exercise focuses on the systemic flow of decisions and the distribution of autonomy within the educational environment.

Rooted entirely in the EPIC approach, this exercise operates on the premise that an educator's unexamined routines often default to traditional hierarchical control. When these patterns remain hidden, they frequently emerge as rigid reactions, especially when an educator feels challenged by adult learners holding different viewpoints or vast professional experiences. By bringing these structural habits into the light, the educator reclaims conscious awareness of their pedagogical influence, transforming potential friction into moments of collaborative inquiry and mutual empowerment.

PREPARATION

Before beginning the exercise, it is deeply helpful to cultivate a specific, open mindset. This process is not an evaluation of moral purity, nor is it an exercise in harsh self-criticism. The goal is radical, gentle honesty. In the context of adult education, where classrooms are filled with diverse life experiences, political orientations, and cultural backgrounds, educators are invited to become intimately familiar with their default teaching behaviours.



Explain to participants that the exercise is about exploring their professional identity by looking at themselves with the same analytical rigour and empathy they apply to their lesson plans.

Suggest that participants can complete this audit using a large notebook and pen, a digital device with mind mapping software, or voice memos, ensuring the reflection process is accessible and comfortable for trainers managing dyslexia or ADHD. A willingness to confront the less comfortable aspects of your professional identity supports this process.

STEP 1: IDENTIFYING THE DECISION NODES

The first step invites participants to list five specific, practical actions they take during a standard course where they exercise unilateral decision-making. These are the logistical, pedagogical, or communicative moments where the educator alone decides the outcome without consulting the adult learners.

Examples might include setting the schedule for breaks, determining the format of the final project, choosing all the reading materials, deciding who speaks and for how long, or providing all the final evaluations.

Once they write down these five decision nodes, invite them to spend ten minutes reflecting on their origins:

- *Where you first learned that this specific action was solely the responsibility of the educator.*
- *Was it inherited from one's own academic training, cultural background, or a previous institutional policy?*

It is also useful to consider how holding onto this specific control manifests in daily interactions with adult learners. For instance, if a participant strictly controls the pace of the conversation, does impatience arise with learners who require more time to process complex information?

The EPIC approach highlights that what we often consider to be universal professional virtues are frequently just inherited, localised habits. Acknowledging these realities encourages us to see these choices as flexible options rather than immutable laws.



STEP 2: ANALYSING THE RELATIONAL IMPACT

The next phase involves analysing the implicit messages conveyed by these decision nodes. Next to each of the five unilateral actions, participants are invited to write down the unspoken message this action might send to the adult learner regarding their autonomy, their competence, and their status as an equal peer.

For example, if a participant unilaterally set all the break times without assessing the energy levels in the room, this action might implicitly convey a lack of trust in the adults' ability to manage their physical and cognitive fatigue. If a participant chooses every single piece of reading material, the implicit message could be perceived as a dismissal of the learners' ability to source relevant, sector-specific literature.

When an adult feels that someone is bypassing their autonomy, they often instinctively disengage emotionally or resist intellectually. Within the EPIC framework, this dynamic is recognised as a natural response to a restrictive environment. Reflecting deeply on these implicit messages allows the educator to practise cognitive empathy, viewing their own structural choices through the eyes of the beneficiaries.

STEP 3: THE FACILITATIVE REDESIGN

Step 3 consists of drafting a personal redesign for shared authority. Participants are invited to select three of the decision nodes they have identified and analysed. For each one, they can design a specific, actionable strategy to convert this moment of unilateral control into a collaborative opportunity.

This redesign aims to ensure that the pedagogical response follows the EPIC approach of facilitative leadership.

For instance, if the decision node was determining the format of the final project, the redesign might involve offering three different project formats and additionally inviting learners to propose a custom format that best suits their current workplace challenges. If the decision node was strictly managing who speaks and when, the redesign might involve establishing a rotating peer facilitation role, where adult learners take turns guiding the daily discussion.

This approach explicitly transfers the responsibility for maintaining the conversational flow from the educator to the community.

Invite participants to write a brief, step-by-step script for exactly how to introduce this redesigned element to the adult learners. This script could include an acknowledgement of the learners' vast



experiences, a transparent declaration of the desire to share the educational space, and a clear invitation for them to step into a more active role.

FINAL STEP: THE MICRO-EXPERIMENT LOG

The De-Centring Audit yields significant results when treated as an active experimentation framework rather than a static document. Instead of seeking peer validation, participants are invited to test their redesigned strategies directly within the educational ecosystem.

For the next training session, each participant selects just one of the collaborative redesigns from Step 3 and implements it. The educator then spends ten minutes immediately after the session completing a micro-experiment log. This involves answering three specific observational questions:

- 1. What observable shifts occurred in the room's energy when I relinquished control over this specific decision node?*
- 2. Did the distribution of speaking time among the adult learners change, and did previously silent beneficiaries contribute more actively?*
- 3. What level of internal discomfort did I experience while stepping back, and how quickly did that discomfort subside once the learners assumed shared responsibility?*

By treating the classroom as a living laboratory for facilitative leadership, participants continuously gather observational data on their practice. This internal feedback loop ensures that the principles of the EPIC approach are rigorously tested and refined against the actual lived experiences of the learning community, anchoring theoretical concepts into grounded, daily action.

Application for Adult Learners

To effectively bring the principles of redefined authority to adult learners, it helps to look closely at the origin of our core approach, which we term Facilitative Leadership.

When we apply Facilitative Leadership to our work with adult beneficiaries, we are helping them navigate a significant transition. Many adults return to education carrying the invisible conditioning of traditional schooling, which often taught them that being a good student means being a passive receiver of information. Because of this conditioning, they might initially default to a submissive posture, waiting for the trainer to hand down the correct answers. The EPIC research warns us that this passive role, or strategic compliance, can often be a coping mechanism to avoid conflict, resulting in deep emotional and cognitive withdrawal. Facilitative Leadership allows us to invite



learners to shed this conditioning by demonstrating that their existing life experiences are not obstacles to the course, but essential contributions to it.

Facilitative Leadership begins by establishing an explicit agreement that the report defines as the andragogical contract. This contract is not a formal piece of paperwork, but a shared human understanding where the facilitator recognises the learner as an adult peer, the learner recognises the facilitator as a professional, and together they co-construct the learning experience. By introducing the course through this collaborative framework, you show learners they have a genuine voice in how the content is approached and how their progress is evaluated.

Redefining authority through Facilitative Leadership means creating a space of cognitive safety, where diverse adult worldviews can be shared openly without fear of erasure or ridicule. The educator encourages horizontal dialogue among the learners, helping them see one another as rich resources rather than competitors. By trusting the beneficiaries to share responsibility for the room's energy, lead discussions, and provide peer-to-peer feedback, we help them step out of passive compliance and into active ownership of their personal and professional development.

Exercise for Adult Learners: THE ANDRAGOGICAL CONTRACT NEGOTIATION

This exercise is designed to explicitly negotiate the power dynamics of the classroom and to formalise the collaborative nature of the adult learning experience. It draws directly upon the EPIC recommendation of sharing authority with adult learners by establishing Facilitative Leadership to co-define group rules in the first session and use shared goal-setting exercises. By engaging in this activity, adult learners shift the burden of success from the shoulders of the educator alone and distribute it across the entire educational community.

PREPARATION

The physical environment is set up to intentionally mirror the spirit of shared authority. Chairs are arranged in a large circle or around small table clusters, eliminating the traditional layout of parallel rows facing a podium. This open arrangement removes physical barriers, allowing communication to flow horizontally among all participants.

A large wall space or a central board is prepared and divided into three clear columns labelled the following:

1. Facilitator Responsibilities
2. Learner Responsibilities



3. Shared Responsibilities.

To accommodate learners with language barriers or ADHD, ensure the columns are accompanied by clear, universally understood icons. Provide a supply of colourful sticky notes and markers, but explicitly invite learners to use keywords, sketches, or simply share their thoughts verbally to be written down by a peer, removing literacy-based stress from the collaborative process

STEP 1: DECONSTRUCTING PAST SCHOOLING EXPECTATIONS

The facilitator invites the adult learners to form small groups of four or five. The conversation begins with a humanising reflection on their past educational journeys. The groups are asked to discuss their memories of traditional schooling or conventional training programmes, focusing on what they used to expect from teachers and how they felt they were expected to behave as students. This step allows participants to externalise and laugh about the rigid, passive roles they may have been conditioned to play in the past, helping them consciously leave those old habits behind.

STEP 2: INTRODUCING THE ANDRAGOGICAL CONTRACT

The facilitator brings the small groups back together and explains that this current course operates on an entirely different foundation, known within the EPIC framework as the andragogical contract. The facilitator explicitly shares that this contract is not a formal piece of legal paperwork, but a shared human understanding based on a simple agreement: the facilitator recognises the learners as capable, adult decision-makers; the learners recognise the facilitator as a professional guide; and together they co-construct how the learning journey will unfold. The small groups are then invited to spend twenty minutes brainstorming how to divide the daily operational labour of the course to honour this adult-to-adult relationship, and to write their thoughts on sticky notes.

STEP 3: POPULATING THE COOPERATIVE MATRIX

The learners are encouraged to walk up to the board and place their sticky notes within the three columns. The facilitator reads the contributions aloud, initiating an open, warm dialogue to weave these ideas into a unified agreement. Under Facilitator Responsibilities, notes might include expectations like providing clear structural frameworks, protecting the group's time, or staying



available for extra support. Under Learner Responsibilities, reflections often showcase a desire for autonomy, such as a commitment to bringing real-world professional experiences into the discussions or raising questions when concepts feel confusing. Under Shared Responsibilities, the most transformative ideas typically emerge, with the group identifying that maintaining a supportive, inclusive tone, connecting theoretical concepts to practical workplace challenges, and assessing whether the daily pace feels comfortable are tasks that belong to everyone in the community.

STEP 4: CO-DESIGNING EVALUATION AND APPROACH

To ensure the learners' autonomy is fully respected, the facilitator guides the group in discussing how they will appreciate their progress and success throughout the course. The EPIC field data shows that adult learners thrive when they have a meaningful voice in how they approach and evaluate content. The facilitator invites the group to move away from traditional, top-down testing models. Instead, the community can discuss adopting collaborative evaluation tools, such as peer-to-peer feedback circles, self-reflective learning journals, or practical application projects tailored to their current professional realities.

FINAL STEP: SETTING THE FUTURE

The facilitator preserves this co-created matrix as a living operating agreement that remains visible throughout the entire duration of the course. If engagement happens to drop in later weeks, or if a relational tension arises within the room, there is no need for the instructor to invoke a punitive, top-down use of power. Instead, any member of the learning community can gently refer the group back to the operating contract they co-authored together. This continuous practice invokes shared authority and mutual accountability, turning the concept of Facilitative Leadership into a practical, reassuring anchor for everyone involved

Conclusion and Integration

Concluding our exploration of redefining authority leads us to a deeper, more humanising appreciation of the relational climate in adult education. Through the practice of Facilitative Leadership and the activation of the andragogical contract, we at EPIC suggest that effective pedagogical influence on adult learners does not require maintaining a cold, professional distance.



When we choose to step down from the pedestal of the omniscient expert, we do not lose our capacity to guide; instead, we create the necessary space for adult learners to step forward as actual partners, bringing their rich life histories, professional skills, and unique narratives into the room.

This narrative space serves as a gentle, quiet moment to slow down, reflect on the shifts that occurred during the exercises, and integrate these insights into your daily professional identity. It invites you to view your classroom not as a theatre for a solo performance, but as a collaborative laboratory where knowledge is co-authored.

To ground these discoveries and transition them into your upcoming educational sessions, you are encouraged to reflect on the following open questions:

- *When you observed your adult learners moving from initial passive expectations into actively negotiating the shared matrix, what did you notice about their level of energy and engagement?*
- *What personal feelings or internal discomfort arose within you when you deliberately chose to redirect questions back to the group rather than providing the immediate, expert answer?*
- *How can you use the techniques of closeness, empathy, and active availability to reassure adult learners who might initially feel anxious or disoriented by a less hierarchical learning structure?*
- *In what specific ways did co-designing the evaluation criteria with your beneficiaries alter the emotional atmosphere of the training space?*

Topic 3: Deconstructing Infantilisation

What is this Topic about

Within the expansive landscape of adult learning and continuous professional development, one of the most pervasive yet silent barriers to a truly transformative experience is the unconscious carryover of educational habits originally designed for young children. This relational and institutional phenomenon, identified within the EPIC framework as the infantilisation of the adult learner, occurs when the educational environment inadvertently strips grown individuals of their



independence, choice, and lived history. The EPIC approach invites facilitators to examine these dynamics, recognising that applying childhood learning structures to adult beneficiaries creates profound emotional and cognitive friction, actively stalling personal growth and diminishing professional dignity.

When adult learners step into a training space, a vocational workshop, or a continuous education centre, they arrive as fully formed decision-makers who manage complex personal economies, direct household systems, and carry significant civic and professional responsibilities outside the classroom. They are the independent authors of their lives. However, when the educational architecture treats them as passive, dependent recipients of knowledge, it generates a jarring systemic dissonance. This friction is rarely the result of malicious intent on the part of the trainer; instead, it usually blossoms from deeply rooted, unexamined assumptions about how a classroom is supposed to function. By deconstructing these traditional habits, facilitators can begin to see how institutional routines frequently erase the value of the adult's unique life history, reducing them to a subordinate role.

Through the lens of non-structuralism, we understand that infantilisation is not merely a collection of isolated, bad habits of individual teachers. It is an entrenched discursive and spatial system embedded within the very structures of training institutions. The rigid rules, the inflexible layout of the space, and the paternalistic patterns of communication do not merely describe the learning environment; they actively construct it, positioning the adult learner into a forced role of dependency. Infantilisation operates through numerous subtle channels, often manifesting in the microscopic details of daily interactions. It surfaces in the tone of voice a trainer might choose to adopt, such as one that feels overly protective, artificially simplified, or subtly patronising. It shows up in the enforcement of rigid, non-negotiable rules governing basic physical freedom, or in the strict, unilateral policing of time.

The field research highlights that requiring adults to follow restrictive centre rules, such as asking for formal permission for basic physical needs, carries intense symbolic weight. It completely shifts the relational frame from an adult-to-adult professional dialogue to an outdated parent-child dynamic. Furthermore, this dynamic is heavily embedded in traditional curriculum design. When a learning pathway is entirely predetermined with zero room for self-directed exploration, it sends an implicit message that the adult beneficiary is incapable of identifying their intellectual needs or steering their own professional development.

The EPIC framework suggests that for adult learning to truly flourish, we should cultivate the educational space as a collaborative gathering of peers. Dismantling infantilising habits requires a conscious effort to remove the structural mechanisms that strip learners of their autonomy. This

paradigm shift invites facilitators to change their foundational internal script from an attitude of managing students to an attitude of exploring complex topics together. When adults feel reduced to the status of minors, they often default to defensive coping mechanisms, displaying superficial compliance, quiet rebellion, or total emotional withdrawal. They play the student role to avoid conflict but disengage entirely from the actual integration of knowledge. By clearing out these restrictive patterns, the trainer opens the door for authentic engagement, where adults feel entirely safe to bring their full, nuanced perspectives into the room.

Operative application of Topic 3

Translating the deconstruction of infantilisation into a daily professional habit invites the adult educator to experience a tool that we can define as the “Autonomy Audit”. The Autonomy Audit is a supportive framework for self-reflection, which assists educators in looking closely at their daily teaching practices to clearly distinguish between necessary, supportive educational structure and unnecessary, arbitrary control.

Necessary structure includes elements that safeguard the learning environment and ensure clarity, such as setting transparent deadlines, outlining core learning goals, and establishing essential safety protocols within a workshop. These structural boundaries are vital because they provide the baseline of predictability that adults require to focus their cognitive energy. Unnecessary control, on the other hand, involves restrictive regulations that serve no real pedagogical purpose, such as policing personal dress codes, demanding specific language styles, or requiring adults to ask formal permission before moving or speaking.

The Autonomy Audit invites adult trainers to consciously sort their classroom parameters into these two categories, gently letting go of the habits that belong to unnecessary control. To apply this approach, educators can start by evaluating how they introduce guidelines at the very beginning of a course. We at EPIC believe that facilitators find immense value in replacing the traditional reading of a rigid rulebook with an open, collaborative negotiation aimed at establishing a contract of equals.

When you invite adult learners to participate in defining how deadlines will be managed or how communication will flow, you explicitly validate their capacity for self-regulation. This application also extends to how trainers offer assistance. Instead of immediately rushing in to solve a problem for a struggling learner, a facilitative approach involves pausing and offering reflective questions, thereby respecting the adult's ability to author their solutions and navigate intellectual challenges independently.



Exercise for Trainers: THE AUTONOMY AUDIT IN ACTION

Set aside thirty minutes of quiet time between training sessions. You will need your current course syllabus, a list of your classroom guidelines, and a single sheet of paper divided into two columns. To support sustained attention, break this thirty-minute reflection into two distinct fifteen-minute blocks. If reviewing dense syllabus texts is challenging due to reading barriers, use text-to-speech tools to listen to your guidelines while sorting them into the necessary structure and unnecessary control columns.

PREPARATION

Set aside thirty minutes of quiet time between training sessions. You will need your current course syllabus, a list of your classroom guidelines, and a single sheet of paper divided into two columns:

1. Necessary Structure
2. Unnecessary Control.

STEP 1: LISTING THE SYSTEMIC RULES

Review your current training routine and list every rule, routine, or expectation you ask your adult learners to follow. Write down everything from how projects are submitted to how breaks are handled and how participants are expected to interact during group work.

STEP 2: FILTERING THE RULES

Look at each item on your list and filter it through the core definitions of the Autonomy Audit. Ask yourself whether the rule is truly essential for achieving the core learning goals or maintaining physical safety. If the answer is yes, place it in the Necessary Structure column. If the rule exists simply because it is how classrooms have always been run, or if it limits the personal choices of the adults without a clear educational benefit, place it in the Unnecessary Control column.



STEP 3: STRUCTURING THE CONTRACT OF EQUALS

Select two items from your Unnecessary Control column. For each item, write down a specific way to transform it into a collaborative agreement that can be openly negotiated with your class during your next meeting. For instance, if you noted a rigid policy regarding project topics, you might reframe it by providing a flexible list of core themes and inviting the learners to design a custom project title that aligns directly with their current workplace needs.

FINAL STEP: THE MICRO-EXPERIMENT LOG

Commit to testing one of your redesigned, flexible agreements in your very next session. Immediately following that class, take five minutes to record your observations in a personal log, focusing on the following simple prompts:

- *What specific shift did I observe in the learners' body language and willingness to speak when this piece of control was removed?*
- *Did any practical challenges arise from relaxing this rule, and how did the group work together to manage them?*
- *How did it feel for me personally to step back and trust the learners to regulate themselves in this specific area?*

Application for Adult Learners

To understand why we bring the Autonomy Audit to our work with Adult Learners, it is essential to trace how this specific tool is conceptually derived. If you are opening this workbook for the first time, you might view the deconstruction of infantilisation as a purely philosophical critique of power. However, to transform this critique into an active, respectful learning environment, we have to look at the underlying mechanics of how dependency is structurally enforced.

Infantilisation does not occur because of a lack of goodwill; it occurs because the institutional parameters of a learning space unconsciously replicate childhood templates of compliance. Therefore, to dismantle this dynamic without causing organisational chaos, we derive the conceptual necessity for a systematic sorting mechanism. We require a tool that can objectively separate the boundaries that protect learning from the arbitrary rules that enforce passivity. This is how we arrive at the Autonomy Audit, turning a broad ethical commitment to dignity into a precise, operational method of filtering structure from control.



By introducing the Autonomy Audit from this conceptual perspective, we can invite the learners to step out of the role of passive subjects and become active analysts of their learning environment. You demonstrate that their independence is a structural prerequisite for the course, rather than a behavioural threat. This shared understanding breaks down the fear of judgement, enabling the community to collaborate transparently and build an educational space where they manage power co-operatively.

Exercise for Adult Learners: CO-AUTHORING THE SHARED BOUNDARIES

This activity offers a practical roadmap for adult learners to directly engage with the operative tool of the Autonomy Audit. Participants work alongside the facilitator to actively analyse the structural parameters of the course, co-creating a balanced environment that respects their independence while safeguarding their collective educational objectives.

PREPARATION

The training space is arranged to support collaborative and comfortable group work, using small tables or an open circle of chairs. The facilitator prepares a central visual space or a whiteboard split into two sections:

1. Clear Parameters for Growth
2. Flexible Pathways for Choice.

To integrate Universal Design for Learning principles for adults at risk of exclusion or those with learning barriers, you might verbally explain the two sections using plain language and concrete examples. Distribute simple writing materials, but encourage small groups to assign a willing note-taker, allowing participants with dyslexia or language barriers to engage fully in the dialogue without the pressure of spelling and writing.

STEP 1: RECALLING THE COMFORT OF AUTONOMY

The facilitator invites the learners to work in pairs. The opening conversation centres on the following question:

Think of a professional or personal space outside of education where you feel completely respected, independent, and trusted to make your own decisions. What are the specific qualities, behaviours, or agreements that make that environment feel so empowering?



The pairs spend ten minutes sharing these descriptions, which helps bring the baseline of human dignity into the room's active awareness.

STEP 2: INTRODUCING THE AUDIT TO THE COMMUNITY

The facilitator introduces the concept of the Autonomy Audit as a tool for the day, explaining that the community is going to look at the practical parameters of the course to ensure we are building an effective contract of equals. The learners reform into small teams of four.

STEP 3: SEPARATING STRUCTURE FROM CONTROL

The facilitator presents the main components of the upcoming course, such as the core topics to be covered, the final presentation dates, and the attendance guidelines. The small teams are invited to audit these components using two guided questions:

- *Which of these parameters do we recognise as the necessary structure that helps us stay organised, safe, and focused on our learning goals?*
- *How can we wrap flexible choices around these parameters so that our individual professional needs, schedules, and independent worldviews are fully respected?*

The teams spend twenty minutes mapping out their ideas, focusing on creating options for how assignments are presented and how group work is organised.

STEP 4: CO-CREATING THE BALANCE

Each team shares their reflections with the room. The facilitator notes the common themes on the central board under the two prepared sections. For example, the group might agree that while the final project deadline is a Clear Parameter for Growth, the specific format of that project can be placed under Flexible Pathways for Choice, allowing individuals to write a report, record an audio segment, or design a localised case study. The facilitator guides a warm, horizontal dialogue to ensure that every participant feels the final balance reflects their independent status.



FINAL STEP: INTEGRATING THE CO-CREATED PARAMETERS

The finalised points are recorded as the official structural framework for the course. By actively participating in this version of the Autonomy Audit, the beneficiaries experience a tangible shift from passive compliance to active co-authorship. They leave the session knowing that their personal autonomy is a foundational element of the educational space, creating a deep sense of ownership that supports their shared journey.

Conclusion: Reclaiming the Contract of Equals

Concluding our exploration of deconstructing infantilisation brings us to a rich appreciation of how deeply independence impacts the adult learning experience. Through the practical application of the Autonomy Audit and the intentional removal of unnecessary control, we discover that creating an empowering classroom requires a continuous commitment to honouring our learners' adult status. When we choose to step away from rigid, inherited habits of control, we discover that structure and freedom can live together beautifully, transforming the training environment into a hospitable space where diverse life contexts are deeply valued.

This reflective closing offers a quiet, supportive moment to slow down, examine the subtle dynamics of authority within your practice, and integrate these insights into your ongoing professional identity.

To ground these discoveries and support your upcoming training sessions, you are encouraged to explore the following open-ended questions:

- *When you looked closely at your classroom routines during the audit, what was the most surprising instance of unnecessary control you managed to uncover?*
- *How did the adult learners respond emotionally when you openly shared the field stories from the research report and invited them to co-author the course boundaries?*
- *What specific internal shifts did you experience regarding your professional role when you transitioned from enforcing compliance to facilitating a contract of equals?*
- *In what ways can you gently support institutional colleagues who might feel anxious or hesitant about relaxing traditional classroom rules?*
- *What simple, mindful habit can you practise before each session to ensure your tone of voice and relational style remain firmly anchored in an adult-to-adult dynamic?*



Topic 4: The Inclusive Mindset

What is this Topic about

Traditional approaches often treat inclusion as an administrative checklist, ensuring that diverse populations can enter the building without actively altering the psychological or communicative climate of the learning environment. Guided by anti-oppressive practices, the EPIC framework



invites a profound shift away from these superficial definitions. True inclusion is not merely about passive tolerance; it is an active, anti-oppressive commitment to dismantling the linguistic and cultural barriers that silence minority voices.

Effective inclusion means cultivating an educational space where diverse worldviews are not only safe from ridicule, but are also actively protected against the subtle, everyday forms of systemic erasure. This approach aims to establish cognitive hospitality, an intentional atmosphere in which every participant feels that their specific way of interpreting reality and navigating their professional experience is recognised and respected as a vital contribution to the collective learning journey.

When an educational environment neglects cognitive hospitality, an invisible barrier to learning is constructed. Adult learning spaces are increasingly characterised by a profound heterogeneity of profiles, bringing together individuals of varying ages, migration histories, literacy levels, and socio-economic backgrounds. If the teaching methods and curriculum remain unexamined, they naturally reflect and reinforce only the dominant cultural or academic narrative. This monocultural bias leaves adult learners from alternative backgrounds or different industrial sectors feeling textually and emotionally isolated. They are forced to expend extra cognitive energy translating the facilitator's references, jargon, and cultural frameworks into their own lived contexts, which often results in alienation, performance anxiety, and a loss of professional confidence.

In the context of the EPIC framework, no educational content or language is entirely neutral or detached from the culture and power structures that produced it. Every case study selected, every historical example used, and every casual analogy offered reflects a specific, situated worldview. When we present these narratives as universal truths without awareness, we inadvertently communicate to certain beneficiaries that their realities, working-class histories, or cultural backgrounds do not belong in the professional or academic sphere. This unexamined delivery silences less dominant voices and allows micro-hierarchies to form within the classroom, where the loudest or most culturally privileged individuals control the dialogue while quieter profiles fade completely into the background.

Cultivating an inclusive mindset requires a willingness to continuously inspect the communicative and narrative patterns of our courses, transforming our role from mere deliverers of skills into conscious creators of a multi-vocal learning community. This means performing a deep critique of our own linguistic habits and checking the background assumptions embedded in our training resources. effective inclusion cannot happen in a vacuum of false neutrality; it requires an active, conscious effort to make space for multiple subjective truths to be articulated and explored safely. The educator stops being the inflexible guardian of a single standard and becomes a facilitator who



ensures that even the most silent or marginalised perspectives find structural legitimacy and respect in the shared learning space.

Operative application of Topic 4

The practice of Narrative Check helps to practically implement an inclusive mindset into daily educational practice. The Narrative Check can be considered a mindful, reflective routine focused on micro-inclusions. Micro-inclusions are the small, deliberate choices of language, idioms, and examples that either build a relational bridge or accidentally distance participants. This practice invites the facilitator to analyse their training materials to ensure that all backgrounds are genuinely recognised.

To apply the Narrative Check effectively, the educator is encouraged to look closely at the hidden assumptions embedded within their standard learning resources. This involves examining case studies, visual aids, and group scenarios to ask practical questions:

- *Are the metaphors used only understandable to a specific gender or cultural group?*
- *Do the scenarios exclusively feature local, traditional names, or do they accurately reflect the diverse fabric of our wider society?*

When an educator chooses a workplace example based solely on a high-tech corporate office, they may accidentally make the content inaccessible to adult learners who have spent their careers in manual trades or informal economic sectors.

This application also directly shapes how a trainer facilitates real-time classroom conversations. In a highly diverse environment, there is a natural tendency for conversational hierarchies to form, creating a risk where quieter participants or women navigating historically male-dominated training tracks easily stay in the background. The educator applies the insights of the Narrative Check to actively balance these communication currents, moving away from a style that allows the loudest voices to dominate. By intentionally varying narrative references and creating structured invitations for alternative viewpoints, the facilitator ensures that the educational space remains accessible and hospitable to all profiles.



Exercise for Trainers: THE NARRATIVE CHECK IN ACTION

This exercise provides adult education professionals with a practical method to apply the Narrative Check to their upcoming training materials, helping to uncover hidden cultural assumptions and expand representation.

PREPARATION AND LOGISTICS

Set aside thirty minutes of quiet reflection time before your next class. You will need a copy of a case study, a presentation slide deck, or a group scenario you plan to use, along with a notepad to record your adjustments. Ensure that the materials you are auditing are available in accessible digital formats. This allows you to use screen readers or high contrast settings if you navigate learning barriers yourself, ensuring your own cognitive safety while you prepare to cultivate cognitive hospitality for your learners.

STEP 1: THE ACCIDENTAL NARRATIVE SCAN

Read through your chosen training material completely, paying close attention to the background details, character names, industry settings, and cultural references. On your notepad, list any elements that rely heavily on localised knowledge, specific gender experiences, or a single professional background.

STEP 2: THE METAPHOR AUDIT

Look specifically at the idioms, humour, and casual analogies that are embedded in your material. Ask yourself the core questions of the Narrative Check, evaluating who might feel excluded if you use a specific sports metaphor or a regional cultural reference. Evaluate whether the example requires a pre-existing socio-economic privilege to be fully understood, moving any restrictive phrases to a revision list.





STEP 3: RE-AUTHORING FOR INCREASING HOSPITALITY

Select two narrative elements from your scan that feel overly restrictive or monocultural. Rewrite them to expand their cultural and professional accessibility. For example, if your case study describes an executive team navigating a corporate tech crisis, reframe the scenario to focus on a community-based cooperative or a multi-generational family business, using names and roles that reflect a broader, more diverse social reality.

FINAL STEP: IMPLEMENTING THE REVISED NARRATIVE

Implement your newly revised, inclusive narrative material in your next training session. Immediately following the class, take five minutes to log your real-world observations, guided by these simple prompts:

- *What specific responses did the adult learners display when encountering the updated names, settings, or metaphors in the material?*
- *Did you notice an increase in participation or eye contact from individuals who typically remain quiet during group discussions?*
- *What internal insights did you gain by consciously filtering your professional language through a lens of cognitive safety?*

Topic 4 application for Adult Learners

When we look at bringing the core concept of Inclusive Mindset to our adult learners, we have to address a common educational challenge. If we simply invite participants to adopt an inclusive mindset, it often remains an abstract, well-meaning sentiment that is difficult to put into practice in daily life. To make it truly meaningful, we must show how this mindset can be operationalised, which means turning a broad internal attitude into a tangible, everyday tool. Within the EPIC framework, we operationalise the Inclusive Mindset into the specific, practical mechanism of the Narrative Check.

Operationalising the Inclusive Mindset topic into a Narrative Check with adult learners means showing them that inclusion is fundamentally experienced through the stories, examples, and communication patterns used in the classroom. If we do not translate an inclusive mindset into



actionable practice, unconscious biases may remain hidden within casual metaphors, idioms, and case studies. For an adult returning to education, a single exclusionary analogy can cause immediate disengagement. By providing the Narrative Check as an explicit tool, we move inclusion away from a passive policy and transform it into an active, shared skill that learners can use to evaluate the communication around them.

The Narrative Check also shows adult beneficiaries that inclusion is a collaborative responsibility. It gives them a concrete way to look at workplace and classroom dialogue, empowering them to become active partners in maintaining cognitive safety. It ensures that the entire community works together to build an educational culture where all backgrounds are recognised, visible, and valued.

Exercise for Adult Learners: THE DIALOGUE KALEIDOSCOPE

This activity provides adult learners with a highly interactive, real-world setting to experience how Inclusive Mindset can be enhanced within their training setting. This exercise uses live, spoken dialogue to help participants observe how quickly unexamined language can create invisible barriers, empowering them to collaboratively transform communication into a welcoming space for all.

PREPARATION

The chairs in the room are arranged in two concentric circles, creating an inner ring of five chairs and a larger outer ring surrounding it. A large flipchart or digital screen is placed within sight of everyone. Since this exercise relies heavily on spoken dialogue, the environment must be acoustically clear to support individuals with attention deficits or language processing barriers. Provide writing pads to the outer ring, but emphasise that noting down phrases is entirely optional; participants can also use mental notes, simple symbols, or digital voice recorders to track the conversation, honouring diverse processing speeds and capacities.

STEP 1: THE IDIOM MARKETPLACE

The facilitator invites everyone to take a couple of minutes to think of a specific catchphrase, metaphor, or piece of jargon they regularly use in their current job, family circle, or cultural



community. The facilitator opens a brief conversation in which participants write these phrases on sticky notes and place them in a central basket, symbolising how each person brings an incredibly rich, diverse backpack of values and language into the room.

STEP 2: THE INNER CIRCLE DIALOGUE

Five volunteers are invited to sit in the inner circle to engage in a brief, unscripted conversation. The facilitator hands them a simple, collaborative prompt, such as coordinating a surprise retirement party for a long-term colleague. The inner circle participants are asked to discuss this scenario naturally for ten minutes, but with a twist: they are encouraged to weave in the specialised metaphors and casual idioms they typically use in their daily lives or lines of work.

STEP 3: THE OUTER CIRCLE NARRATIVE SCAN

While the inner circle speaks, the remaining participants sit in the outer ring and actively practise the Narrative Check. Their task is not to judge the speakers, but to listen with deep empathy to the flow of the language. They listen carefully to catch moments where a specific metaphor, a localised reference, or an industry-specific joke might sound confusing, exclusionary, or assuming to someone from an alternative background. They gently write down these phrases on their pads without attaching names to them.

STEP 4: SHIFTING THE KALEIDOSCOPE

The facilitator pauses the inner-circle conversation. The outer ring participants are invited to share the phrases they noted, offering them to the room with genuine warmth. The facilitator writes these expressions onto the Hospitality Board. The entire community then works together to apply the Narrative Check, treating the board like a kaleidoscope that can be shifted to show new patterns. The group explores how to rephrase each expression, turning restrictive or highly specialised analogies into accessible, universally welcoming language that preserves the emotional heart of the message while avoiding barriers for others.



FINAL STEP: INTEGRATING HORIZONTAL AWARENESS

The inner and outer circles swap places, allowing a new group to experience the conversational current and the observation process. By stepping away from a traditional paperwork task and stepping into this live listening lab, the beneficiaries build a portable, conversational habit. They leave the session realising how easily unexamined habits of speech can cause quieter profiles or diverse backgrounds to fade into the background, and they gain the practical skill to actively adjust their language to protect their peers' cognitive safety.

Conclusion: Sustaining Inclusive Mindset

Building an inclusive educational space does not require a flawless, expert performance. Instead, it relies on our willingness to be transparent and attentive to the narrative currents flowing through our classrooms. By operationalising this mindset through the practical habit of the Narrative Check, we discover that effective hospitality is co-created in the small, daily choices of our words, examples, and interactions.

This reflective closing offers a dedicated, quiet moment to slow down, examine the linguistic habits within your practice, and weave these insights into your developing professional identity.

To ground these discoveries and support your upcoming training sessions, you are encouraged to reflect on the following open-ended questions:

- *When you performed the Narrative Check on your own training materials, what was the most surprising or subtle assumption you uncovered in your language?*
- *How did the dynamic of your classroom shift when you explicitly addressed the risk of quieter profiles staying in the background and invited the group to protect everyone's cognitive safety?*
- *What personal insights did you gain by listening to how adult learners from different backgrounds interpreted the same professional scenario during the practical exercises?*
- *In what ways can you gently introduce the practice of micro-inclusions to institutional colleagues who might view inclusion solely as a matter of administrative compliance?*
- *What simple, daily reminder can you establish for yourself to ensure your choice of stories and metaphors continuously expands to welcome all the diverse worldviews in your room?*



Topic 5: Vulnerability as Competence

What is this Topic about

In the traditional architecture of institutional training, educators frequently construct their professional identity around a narrative of absolute certainty, perfect authority, and flawless



Co-funded by
the European Union



expertise. This classical paradigm demands that the instructor function as an omniscient master, a distant figure who must never show hesitation, admit a lack of knowledge, or acknowledge a technical error in front of the classroom. While this protective shield is intended to preserve classroom control and enforce institutional respect, the EPIC approach invites us to recognise that this model creates an immense, counterproductive power distance within adult education settings. It fosters a rigid environment of high cognitive anxiety, where adult beneficiaries feel terrified of making mistakes, causing them to withdraw emotionally, hide their difficulties, and mask their genuine learning needs to avoid judgement.

When we shift our perspective to view vulnerability as a genuine professional competence, we fundamentally reframe the nature of trust and connection in the classroom. Adult learners do not enter training spaces seeking an infallible, robotic authority figure; rather, they possess a deep desire for authentic human connection, empathy, closeness, and mutual respect. They arrive with their complex professional histories, personal securities, and anxieties about returning to an educational environment where they might be evaluated or exposed as inadequate. When an educator insists on maintaining a rigid facade of perfection, it actively distances the learners, reinforcing a defensive dynamic where everyone is forced to perform a faked role rather than engage in effective transformation and growth.

Reframing vulnerability as a competence does not imply a sloppy abdication of professional boundaries, a loss of control, or an inappropriate oversharing of private personal problems. Within the EPIC framework and the perspective of non-structuralism, it represents a highly sophisticated form of confident humility. It is the capacity of the educator to step away from the exhausting, fictitious demand for perfection and instead show up with transparency and relational authenticity.

When a facilitator has the internal security to say that they do not know the answer to an advanced question but will research it together, or openly corrects a misstep they made during an exercise, they are performing a high-level pedagogical intervention. They dismantle the paralyzing fear of failure and model the exact behaviors of lifelong learning that we wish to inspire in our beneficiaries. This openness reduces the artificial hierarchy and communicates to the adult learners that the educator trusts them as intellectual peers, transforming the classroom from a rigid site of top-down evaluation into a collaborative laboratory where knowledge is co-authored and shared human connection is deeply valued.



Operative application of Topic 5

Translating the concept of vulnerability from a broad philosophical idea into a daily classroom tool encourages the adult educator to embrace the operative practice of Strategic Vulnerability. Consistent with the EPIC framework, this approach is entirely purposeful and pedagogically grounded. It is not about a random display of weakness, but a deliberate, professional choice to utilise transparency as a bridge for human connection, helping to dissolve artificial hierarchies and build deep baseline trust within the learning community.

To apply Strategic Vulnerability in daily practice, the educator is invited to intentionally modify how they handle moments of uncertainty or error. When an unexpected question arises that falls outside your immediate expertise, instead of following the traditional reflex to bluff, deflect, or protect your status as the all-knowing expert, you are invited to openly acknowledge the limitation of your current knowledge, welcoming the query as an exciting opportunity for collaborative exploration. By explicitly sharing the stage of inquiry with the adult learners, you validate the learning process itself as an ongoing journey rather than a static destination.

Another vital application lies in how we introduce our own professional histories. Rather than presenting ourselves solely through a polished list of successes, we believe it is useful to share stories of our past professional struggles, missteps, and the messy learning curves that shaped our careers. When an educator shares a narrative of a time they failed and how they navigated that challenge, it humanises the subject. It signals to the adult beneficiaries that difficulties are not shameful deficits but the very raw material of profound intellectual growth. This practice creates an exceptionally safe space where learners feel permitted to drop their own defensive guards and engage honestly with the curriculum.

Exercise for Trainers: THE STRATEGIC VULNERABILITY BLUEPRINT

Find fifteen minutes of quiet reflection time before your next teaching module. You will need a journal or notepad to draft your responses. Journaling does not necessarily require physical writing: you may record a voice memo or use speech-to-text applications to draft your transparency scripts, accommodating any neurodivergent needs and ensuring the reflection process remains fluid and unhindered by mechanical writing tasks.



PREPARATION

Find fifteen minutes of quiet reflection time before your next teaching module. You will need a journal or notepad to draft your responses.

STEP 1: THE PERFECTION AUDIT

Reflect on the specific topics or technical skills you will be facilitating in your upcoming session. Identify one area where you occasionally feel anxious about being challenged, making a minor error, or encountering an advanced question that might test the limits of your current knowledge.

STEP 2: DRAFTING THE TRANSPARENCY SCRIPT

For the anxiety node you identified in Step 1, write down a brief, natural script that you can use if you happen to encounter a limitation in your expertise during class. Avoid defensive language. Instead, draft an authentic phrase that models confident humility. For example: *I do not have the exact data on that right now, but I would love to look into it tonight and share what I find with the group tomorrow.*

STEP 3: INTEGRATING THE GROWTH NARRATIVE

Look at the lesson plan for your next session. Identify a moment where you can naturally insert a brief, two-minute story of a past professional mistake you made when you were first learning this specific topic. Ensure the story focuses on the lesson learned and how that mistake ultimately helped you grow, demonstrating that errors are essential components of development.

FINAL STEP: LOG YOUR REFLECTIONS

Implement your transparency script or growth narrative during your next session. Immediately following the class, take a few minutes to log your reflections by answering the following questions:



- *What physical and emotional sensations did I experience right before I chose to share my limitation or past mistake?*
- *How did the atmosphere in the room change once I displayed this moment of authentic transparency?*
- *In what ways did the adult learners interact with me differently during the remainder of the session?*

Application for Adult Learners

Operationalising the concept of Vulnerability as a Competence into Strategic Vulnerability for adult learners means transforming it into a deliberate, purposeful tool to intentionally level the classroom hierarchy. It is a way of showing that admitting limitations is not a sign of weakness, but a high-level professional skill that opens the door to genuine collaboration. For an adult learner, seeing vulnerability used strategically removes the pressure to perform flawlessly, transforming the classroom from a site of evaluation into a collaborative laboratory.

When adult learners see vulnerability as a deliberate choice, they realise that they do not need to hide their difficulties to earn respect. They have a concrete framework to look at their own professional missteps, empowering them to become active partners in creating a safe peer-to-peer connection. It ensures that the entire community works together to model lifelong learning behaviours, where admitting ignorance is celebrated as the first step toward genuine growth.

Exercise for Adult Learners: THE AUTHENTIC EXCHANGE

This activity provides adult learners with a highly interactive, supportive arena to experience how Vulnerability as Competence can be operationalised through the practice of Strategic Vulnerability. Instead of a dry, theoretical discussion, this exercise allows participants to share real professional learning curves, helping them discover that transparency actually builds baseline trust and collaborative strength within a peer network.



PREPARATION

The chairs in the room are arranged in small, comfortable circles of four or five, completely removing any front-facing classroom orientation. Each group is provided with a sheet of paper titled The Growth Archive and a set of simple writing tools.

To create a truly inclusive environment for adults facing dyslexia, ADHD, or language barriers, explicitly state that The Growth Archive can be populated with bullet points, drawings, or mind maps rather than formal sentences. Use visual timers to help groups manage their twenty-minute exchange, providing clear, gentle structure without micromanaging the flow of their conversation

STEP 1: THE ACCIDENTAL EXPERT WARM-UP

The facilitator invites the participants to work in pairs for a quick opening reflection. The prompt is simple: Think of a time in your professional life when a colleague or supervisor admitted they made a mistake and actively asked for your help to fix it. How did that moment alter your respect for them and your willingness to work together? The pairs spend ten minutes sharing these memories, quickly realising that perfection is not a prerequisite for professional credibility.

STEP 2: ACTIVATING THE STRATEGIC VULNERABILITY TOOL

The facilitator brings the full room back together and introduces the concept of Strategic Vulnerability, explaining that this tool is how we take our abstract fear of failure and turn it into a shared asset for learning. The facilitator models this concept by sharing a brief, personal story of an educational or professional mistake they made in their career, highlighting what they learned from it. The small teams are then invited to use this exact tool to map their own developmental journeys.

STEP 3: MAPPING THE SKILL CURVE

Each participant within the small group is invited to select a specific technical skill, industry process, or concept from their current field of study that they initially found incredibly confusing or where they made a notable error. The teams discuss these experiences using two guided lenses:



- *What was the specific mistake or point of confusion I encountered, and what did that moment teach me about how this skill actually works?*
- *How does sharing this struggle openly right now help to take the pressure off my peers who might be quietly facing the exact same challenge today?*

The participants spend twenty minutes exchanging their stories, recording the core lessons learned on their sheet without attaching names to specific errors.

STEP 4: DEVELOPING THE GROWTH ARCHIVE

The small groups bring their sheets to the front of the room. The facilitator reads the anonymous lessons aloud, compiling them into a central map on the board titled Our Shared Learning Curve. The entire community discusses the patterns, observing how many participants struggled with identical concepts. The group explores how much faster a team can solve workplace problems when individuals feel safe enough to say that they are stuck or are figuring a process out, explicitly reframing these statements as signs of professional maturity.

Final STEP: INTEGRATING CONFIDENT HUMILITY

The finalised Growth Archive is kept as a shared reference for the course. By actively participating in this live listening lab, the beneficiaries build a portable professional habit. They leave the session with the idea that practising strategic vulnerability removes the paralysing anxiety of faked perfection, giving them the practical confidence to engage honestly with new challenges and collaborate deeply with their peers.

CONCLUSION: EMBRACING CONFIDENT HUMILITY

Building a transformative adult learning space does not require a flawless, expert performance. Instead, it relies on our willingness to be authentic, accessible, and attentive to the human connections flowing through our classrooms. By operationalising this mindset through the practical habit of Strategic Vulnerability, we discover that effective trust is co-created when we have the security to step down from the pedestal and learn alongside our community.



This reflective closing offers a dedicated, quiet moment to slow down, examine the relational habits within your active practice, and weave these insights into your developing professional identity.

To ground these discoveries and support your upcoming training sessions, you are encouraged to reflect on the following open-ended questions:

- *When you chose to implement your transparency script or share a past professional mistake, what did you observe about the immediate change in the room's emotional climate?*
- *How did the adult learners respond when they realised that you valued closeness, empathy, and active availability far more than maintaining an elite, professional distance?*
- *What specific internal shifts occurred in your own professional confidence when you reframed admitting a limitation as a vulnerability into a high-level competence?*
- *In what ways can you use the insights from the Authentic Exchange exercise to help your beneficiaries navigate moments of intense frustration or cognitive dissonance in future modules?*
- *What simple, gentle reminder can you give yourself at the start of each day to ensure you step into the training space with confident humility, ready to co-author knowledge with your community?*

Final thoughts on this Workbook

The journey through the EPIC framework does not conclude simply by reaching the final page of this Workbook. Enhancing positive influence in adult education is not a static achievement but an ongoing, continuous practice of deep self-reflection and deliberate systemic adjustment. By engaging with the five core topics presented in this guide, you have explored how heavily our



unexamined professional habits and inherited institutional routines can impact the learning experience and the emotional safety of our beneficiaries.

Throughout these chapters, we have provided practical tools to translate the EPIC ideas into everyday action.

Abandoning the myth of neutrality through the Values Compass allows us to transparently map our subjective lenses and engage respectfully with diverse worldviews.

Redefining authority via the De-Centring Audit transforms our classrooms from spaces of passive compliance into dynamic arenas of shared responsibility and active engagement.

Deconstructing infantilisation by applying the Autonomy Audit ensures that we continually honour the independent status, personal autonomy, and inherent dignity of every adult learner who walks through our doors.

Furthermore, the Narrative Check operationalises the inclusive mindset and guarantees that we actively protect cognitive hospitality in our daily communication, ensuring that no voice is marginalised by unexamined metaphors.

Finally, embracing vulnerability as a competence through the practice of Strategic Vulnerability dismantles the paralysing fear of failure. This practice models authentic, confident humility for our entire learning community. Together, these five pillars form a comprehensive strategy for dismantling oppressive educational hierarchies.

We strongly encourage you to treat this workbook as a document that you should update and revise. The exercises, the audits, and the narrative checks provided here are designed to be revisited regularly as your professional context evolves, as you encounter new groups of learners, and as you face new pedagogical challenges. Effective pedagogical influence does not arise from maintaining an exhausting illusion of perfection. It emerges from a commitment to remain open, accessible, and deeply invested in the collaborative creation of knowledge. By stepping away from the pedestal of the omniscient expert, you invite your adult learners to reclaim their intellectual agency, allowing both you and your beneficiaries to thrive in a space of mutual respect.



